#### Linear Weaknesses in T-functions

#### Tao Shi,<sup>1</sup> Vladimir Anashin<sup>2</sup> and Dongdai Lin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Information Security Institute of Information Engineering Chinese Academy of Sciences <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics Lomonosov Moscow State University







- 2 Non-Archimedean theory of T-functions: basics
- 3 Main results

# **T**-functions

Loosely speaking, a T-function on k-bit words is a map of k-bit words into k-bit words such that each i-th bit of image depends only on low-order bits 0, ..., i of the pre-image. Formally, a (univariate) T-function f is a mapping

 $\ldots; \chi_2; \chi_1; \chi_0 \stackrel{f}{\mapsto} \ldots, \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2); \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1); \psi_0(\chi_0)$ 

where  $\chi_i \in \{0,1\}$ , and each  $\psi_i(\chi_0, \ldots, \chi_i)$  is a Boolean function in Boolean variables  $\chi_0, \ldots, \chi_i$ .

As any bit word may be regarded as a base-2 expansion of a non-negative integer, T-functions may be considered as maps from integers to integers.

The determinative property of T-functions (which might be used to state an equivalent definition of a T-function) is compatibility with all congruences modulo powers of 2: Given a (univariate) T-function f, if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^s}$  then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^s}$ . Vice versa, every compatible map is a T-function.

# **T**-functions

Loosely speaking, a T-function on k-bit words is a map of k-bit words into k-bit words such that each i-th bit of image depends only on low-order bits 0, ..., i of the pre-image. Formally, a (univariate) T-function f is a mapping

$$\ldots; \chi_2; \chi_1; \chi_0 \stackrel{f}{\mapsto} \ldots, \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2); \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1); \psi_0(\chi_0)$$

# where $\chi_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and each $\psi_i(\chi_0, \dots, \chi_i)$ is a Boolean function in Boolean variables $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_i$ .

As any bit word may be regarded as a base-2 expansion of a non-negative integer, T-functions may be considered as maps from integers to integers.

The determinative property of T-functions (which might be used to state an equivalent definition of a T-function) is compatibility with all congruences modulo powers of 2: Given a (univariate) T-function f, if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^s}$  then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^s}$ . Vice versa, every compatible map is a T-function.

# **T**-functions

Loosely speaking, a T-function on k-bit words is a map of k-bit words into k-bit words such that each i-th bit of image depends only on low-order bits 0, ..., i of the pre-image. Formally, a (univariate) T-function f is a mapping

$$\ldots; \chi_2; \chi_1; \chi_0 \stackrel{f}{\mapsto} \ldots, \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2); \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1); \psi_0(\chi_0)$$

where  $\chi_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and each  $\psi_i(\chi_0, \dots, \chi_i)$  is a Boolean function in Boolean variables  $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_i$ .

As any bit word may be regarded as a base-2 expansion of a non-negative integer, T-functions may be considered as maps from integers to integers.

The determinative property of T-functions (which might be used to state an equivalent definition of a T-function) is compatibility with all congruences modulo powers of 2: Given a (univariate) T-function f, if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^s}$  then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^s}$ . Vice versa, every compatible map is a T-function.

Important examples of *T*-functions are basic machine instructions: integer arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication,...); bitwise logical operations  $(\lor, \oplus, \land, \neg)$ ; some of their compositions (masking, shifts towards high order bits, reduction modulo  $2^k$ ). A composition of T-functions is a T-function (for instance, any polynomial with integer coefficients is a *T*-function).

Important examples of *T*-functions are basic machine instructions: integer arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication,...); bitwise logical operations  $(\lor, \oplus, \land, \neg)$ ; some of their compositions (masking, shifts towards high order bits, reduction modulo  $2^k$ ). A composition of T-functions is a T-function (for instance, any polynomial with integer coefficients is a *T*-function).

Important examples of *T*-functions are basic machine instructions: integer arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication,...); bitwise logical operations  $(\lor, \oplus, \land, \neg)$ ; some of their compositions (masking, shifts towards high order bits, reduction modulo  $2^k$ ). A composition of T-functions is a T-function (for instance, any polynomial with integer coefficients is a *T*-function).

Important examples of *T*-functions are basic machine instructions: integer arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication,...); bitwise logical operations  $(\lor, \oplus, \land, \neg)$ ; some of their compositions (masking, shifts towards high order bits, reduction modulo  $2^k$ ). A composition of T-functions is a T-function (for instance, any polynomial with integer coefficients is a *T*-function).

#### Coordinate sequences

Given a transitive T-function f, we consider a k-bit word sequence  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  produced by f with respect to the recurrence law

$$x_i = f(x_{i-1}) = f^i(x_0) = \underbrace{f(\dots(f(x_0)\dots))}_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

(by the definition,  $f^0(x_0) = x_0$ ), and denote by  $\delta_n(x_i)$  the *n*-th bit of the word  $x_i$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1$ .

• The *n*-th coordinate sequence is the bit sequence

 $\delta_n(x_0), \delta_n(x_1), \delta_n(x_2) \dots$ 

#### Coordinate sequences

Given a transitive T-function f, we consider a k-bit word sequence  $x_0, x_1, \ldots$  produced by f with respect to the recurrence law

$$x_i = f(x_{i-1}) = f^i(x_0) = \underbrace{f(\dots(f(x_0)\dots))}_i \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

(by the definition,  $f^0(x_0) = x_0$ ), and denote by  $\delta_n(x_i)$  the *n*-th bit of the word  $x_i$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1$ .

• The *n*-th coordinate sequence is the bit sequence

 $\delta_n(x_0), \delta_n(x_1), \delta_n(x_2) \dots$ 

Molland and Helleseth (2005) discovered that for the transitive T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \lor C)$  suggested by Klimov and Shamir (2003), adjacent coordinate sequences satisfy linear relation of the form

$$\delta_n(x_{i+2^{n-1}}) \equiv \delta_n(x_i) + \delta_{n-1}(x_i) + z_i \pmod{2}$$
, for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

where the length of the period of the sequence  $z_i$  is only 4 (and not  $2^n$  as in a general case, for an arbitrary transitive T-function); Jin-Song Wang and Wen-Feng Qi (2008) obtained similar result for a transitive polynomial function  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \cdots + c_m x^m$  with integer coefficients  $c_0, c_1, \ldots \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$ .

Molland and Helleseth (2005) discovered that for the transitive T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee C)$  suggested by Klimov and Shamir (2003), adjacent coordinate sequences satisfy linear relation of the form

$$\delta_n(x_{i+2^{n-1}}) \equiv \delta_n(x_i) + \delta_{n-1}(x_i) + z_i \pmod{2}$$
, for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

where the length of the period of the sequence  $z_i$  is only 4 (and not  $2^n$  as in a general case, for an arbitrary transitive T-function); Jin-Song Wang and Wen-Feng Qi (2008) obtained similar result for a transitive polynomial function  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \cdots + c_m x^m$  with integer coefficients  $c_0, c_1, \ldots \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}.$ 

#### Background

#### Our contribution

• Firstly, we prove that the linear relation

$$\delta_n(x_{i+2^{n-1}}) \equiv \delta_n(x_i) + \delta_{n-1}(x_i) + z_i \pmod{2}; \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

holds for a much wider class of T-functions than polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and Klimov-Shamir functions  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee C)$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This wider class contains exponential T-functions (such as  $f(x) = 3x + 3^x$ ), fractional T-functions (such as  $f(x) = 1 + x + \frac{4}{1+2x}$ ) and many other T-functions that might be extremely complex compositions of numerical and logical operators.

The length of the period of the binary sequence  $z_i$  in the relation depends on the function f and is not necessarily 4 any longer. However, the length is still short and does not depend on the order n of coordinate sequence.

#### Background

#### Our contribution

• Firstly, we prove that the linear relation

$$\delta_n(x_{i+2^{n-1}}) \equiv \delta_n(x_i) + \delta_{n-1}(x_i) + z_i \pmod{2}; \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

holds for a much wider class of T-functions than polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and Klimov-Shamir functions  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee C)$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This wider class contains exponential T-functions (such as  $f(x) = 3x + 3^x$ ), fractional T-functions (such as  $f(x) = 1 + x + \frac{4}{1+2x}$ ) and many other T-functions that might be extremely complex compositions of numerical and logical operators.

The length of the period of the binary sequence  $z_i$  in the relation depends on the function f and is not necessarily 4 any longer. However, the length is still short and does not depend on the order n of coordinate sequence. • Secondly, for a slightly narrower class of T-functions than the previous one, we prove that a quadratic relation holds for any three consecutive coordinate sequences. Earlier a relation of this sort was known only for Klimov-Shamir T-function.

Both linear and quadratic relations we discuss may be used to construct attacks against some T-function-based stream ciphers, and moreover, against stream ciphers based on multiword T-functions (such as TSC) as well as the ones that T-function-based counter-dependent generators (such as ABC). We obtain our results by using techniques of non-Archimedean dynamics; that is, we expand T-functions onto the whole space  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of 2-adic integers and study corresponding dynamical systems. • Secondly, for a slightly narrower class of T-functions than the previous one, we prove that a quadratic relation holds for any three consecutive coordinate sequences. Earlier a relation of this sort was known only for Klimov-Shamir T-function.

Both linear and quadratic relations we discuss may be used to construct attacks against some T-function-based stream ciphers, and moreover, against stream ciphers based on multiword T-functions (such as TSC) as well as the ones that T-function-based counter-dependent generators (such as ABC). We obtain our results by using techniques of non-Archimedean dynamics; that is, we expand T-functions onto the whole space  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of 2-adic integers and study corresponding dynamical systems. • Secondly, for a slightly narrower class of T-functions than the previous one, we prove that a quadratic relation holds for any three consecutive coordinate sequences. Earlier a relation of this sort was known only for Klimov-Shamir T-function.

Both linear and quadratic relations we discuss may be used to construct attacks against some T-function-based stream ciphers, and moreover, against stream ciphers based on multiword T-functions (such as TSC) as well as the ones that T-function-based counter-dependent generators (such as ABC). We obtain our results by using techniques of non-Archimedean dynamics; that is, we expand T-functions onto the whole space  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of 2-adic integers and study corresponding dynamical systems.

#### 2-adic integers

From the definition, any T-function is well-defined on the set  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of all infinite binary sequences  $\ldots \delta_2(x)\delta_1(x)\delta_0(x) = x$ , where  $\delta_j(x) \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Arithmetic operations (addition and multiplication) with these sequences could be defined via standard "school-textbook" algorithms of addition and multiplication of natural numbers represented by base-2 expansions. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is commutative with respect to the so defined addition and multiplication, and is called the ring of 2-adic integers

From the definition, any T-function is well-defined on the set  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of all infinite binary sequences  $\ldots \delta_2(x)\delta_1(x)\delta_0(x) = x$ , where  $\delta_j(x) \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Arithmetic operations (addition and multiplication) with these sequences could be defined via standard "school-textbook" algorithms of addition and multiplication of natural numbers represented by base-2 expansions. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is commutative with respect to the so defined addition and multiplication, and is called the ring of 2-adic integers

#### 2-adic metric

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a metric space: A distance (=metric) d(a, b) between  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $2^{-l}$ , where l =(the length of the longest common prefix of a and b). Absolute value of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a distance from a to 0:  $|a|_2 = d(a, 0)$ ; so  $d(a, b) = |a - b|_2$ . The metric d is non-Archimedean; that is, satisfies the strong triangle inequality: for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

$$|a-b|_2 \le \max\{|a-c|_2, |c-b|_2\},\$$

Formally, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  could be defined as a completion of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to this non-Archimedean metric.

Now, we represent every 2-adic integer  $x = \ldots \delta_2(x)\delta_1(x)\delta_0(x)$  (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ) as the series  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i(x) \cdot 2^i$ ; (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ). The series are called canonic 2-adic expansion of the 2-adic integer x; the series converges to x with respect to the 2-adic metric.

#### 2-adic metric

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a metric space: A distance (=metric) d(a, b) between  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $2^{-l}$ , where l =(the length of the longest common prefix of a and b). Absolute value of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a distance from a to 0:  $|a|_2 = d(a, 0)$ ; so  $d(a, b) = |a - b|_2$ . The metric d is non-Archimedean; that is, satisfies the strong triangle inequality: for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

$$|a-b|_2 \le \max\{|a-c|_2, |c-b|_2\},\$$

Formally, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  could be defined as a completion of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to this non-Archimedean metric.

Now, we represent every 2-adic integer  $x = \ldots \delta_2(x)\delta_1(x)\delta_0(x)$  (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ) as the series  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i(x) \cdot 2^i$ ; (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ). The series are called canonic 2-adic expansion of the 2-adic integer x; the series converges to x with respect to the 2-adic metric.

#### 2-adic metric

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a metric space: A distance (=metric) d(a, b) between  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is  $2^{-l}$ , where l =(the length of the longest common prefix of a and b). Absolute value of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a distance from a to 0:  $|a|_2 = d(a, 0)$ ; so  $d(a, b) = |a - b|_2$ . The metric d is non-Archimedean; that is, satisfies the strong triangle inequality: for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

$$|a-b|_2 \le \max\{|a-c|_2, |c-b|_2\},\$$

Formally, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  could be defined as a completion of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to this non-Archimedean metric.

Now, we represent every 2-adic integer  $x = \ldots \delta_2(x)\delta_1(x)\delta_0(x)$  (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ) as the series  $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i(x) \cdot 2^i$ ; (where  $\delta_i(x) \in \{0,1\}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ). The series are called canonic 2-adic expansion of the 2-adic integer x; the series converges to x with respect to the 2-adic metric.

 $T\mbox{-}{\rm functions}$  may be viewed as mappings from 2-adic integers to 2-adic integers: e.g., for a univariate  $T\mbox{-}{\rm function}~f$ 

$$\chi_0 + \chi_1 \cdot 2 + \chi_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \psi_0(\chi_0) + \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot 2 + \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots$$

We refer to these Boolean functions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$  as coordinate functions of the T-function f.

 $T\mbox{-}{\rm functions}$  may be viewed as mappings from 2-adic integers to 2-adic integers: e.g., for a univariate  $T\mbox{-}{\rm function}~f$ 

$$\chi_0 + \chi_1 \cdot 2 + \chi_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \psi_0(\chi_0) + \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot 2 + \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots$$

We refer to these Boolean functions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$  as coordinate functions of the T-function f.

 $T\mbox{-}{\rm functions}$  may be viewed as mappings from 2-adic integers to 2-adic integers: e.g., for a univariate  $T\mbox{-}{\rm function}~f$ 

$$\chi_0 + \chi_1 \cdot 2 + \chi_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \psi_0(\chi_0) + \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot 2 + \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots$$

We refer to these Boolean functions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$  as coordinate functions of the T-function f.

 $T\mbox{-}{\rm functions}$  may be viewed as mappings from 2-adic integers to 2-adic integers: e.g., for a univariate  $T\mbox{-}{\rm function}~f$ 

$$\chi_0 + \chi_1 \cdot 2 + \chi_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \psi_0(\chi_0) + \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot 2 + \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots$$

# We refer to these Boolean functions $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$ as coordinate functions of the *T*-function *f*.

 $T\mbox{-}{\rm functions}$  may be viewed as mappings from 2-adic integers to 2-adic integers: e.g., for a univariate  $T\mbox{-}{\rm function}~f$ 

$$\chi_0 + \chi_1 \cdot 2 + \chi_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \psi_0(\chi_0) + \psi_1(\chi_0, \chi_1) \cdot 2 + \psi_2(\chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots$$

We refer to these Boolean functions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$  as coordinate functions of the *T*-function *f*.

The most important is that all T-functions are continuous functions of 2-adic variables since all T-functions satisfy a Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 with respect to the 2-adic metric, and vice versa.

#### 1-Lipschtiz functions=Compatible functions=T-functions

A map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies a 2-adic Lipschtiz condition with a constant  $1: |f(a) - f(b)|_2 \le |a - b|_2$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  iff f is compatible: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^k}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that f is a T-function.

The following functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 and thus are T-functions (and so also be used in compositions of cryptographic primitives):

- subtraction  $(u, v) \mapsto u v$ ;
- **2** exponentiation  $(u, v) \mapsto (1 + 2u)^v$ ;
- ${f O}$  negative powers,  $u\mapsto (1+2u)^{-n}$ ;
- division  $(u, v) \mapsto \frac{u}{1+2v}$ .

The most important is that all T-functions are continuous functions of 2-adic variables since all T-functions satisfy a Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 with respect to the 2-adic metric, and vice versa.

#### 1-Lipschtiz functions=Compatible functions=T-functions

A map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies a 2-adic Lipschtiz condition with a constant  $1: |f(a) - f(b)|_2 \le |a - b|_2$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  iff f is compatible: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^k}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that f is a T-function.

The following functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 and thus are T-functions (and so also be used in compositions of cryptographic primitives):

- **1** subtraction  $(u, v) \mapsto u v$ ;
- ② exponentiation  $(u,v)\mapsto (1+2u)^v$ ;
- $egin{array}{lll}$  negative powers,  $u\mapsto (1+2u)^{-n}$ ;

• division  $(u, v) \mapsto \frac{u}{1+2v}$ .

The most important is that all T-functions are continuous functions of 2-adic variables since all T-functions satisfy a Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 with respect to the 2-adic metric, and vice versa.

#### 1-Lipschtiz functions=Compatible functions=T-functions

A map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies a 2-adic Lipschtiz condition with a constant  $1: |f(a) - f(b)|_2 \le |a - b|_2$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  iff f is compatible: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^k}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that f is a T-function.

The following functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 and thus are T-functions (and so also be used in compositions of cryptographic primitives):

- **1** subtraction  $(u, v) \mapsto u v$ ;
- **2** exponentiation  $(u, v) \mapsto (1 + 2u)^v$ ;
- 3) negative powers,  $u\mapsto (1+2u)^{-n}$ ;

The most important is that all T-functions are continuous functions of 2-adic variables since all T-functions satisfy a Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 with respect to the 2-adic metric, and vice versa.

#### 1-Lipschtiz functions=Compatible functions=T-functions

A map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies a 2-adic Lipschtiz condition with a constant  $1: |f(a) - f(b)|_2 \leq |a - b|_2$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  iff f is compatible: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^k}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that f is a T-function.

The following functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 and thus are T-functions (and so also be used in compositions of cryptographic primitives):

- **1** subtraction  $(u, v) \mapsto u v$ ;
- 2 exponentiation  $(u, v) \mapsto (1 + 2u)^v$ ;
- $\ \, {\rm \textbf{0}} \ \, {\rm \textbf{negative powers,}} \ \, u\mapsto (1+2u)^{-n};$

(a) division  $(u, v) \mapsto \frac{u}{1+2v}$ .

The most important is that all T-functions are continuous functions of 2-adic variables since all T-functions satisfy a Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 with respect to the 2-adic metric, and vice versa.

#### 1-Lipschtiz functions=Compatible functions=T-functions

A map  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies a 2-adic Lipschtiz condition with a constant  $1: |f(a) - f(b)|_2 \leq |a - b|_2$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  iff f is compatible: if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2^k}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{2^k}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that f is a T-function.

The following functions satisfy the Lipschitz condition with a constant 1 and thus are T-functions (and so also be used in compositions of cryptographic primitives):

- **1** subtraction  $(u, v) \mapsto u v$ ;
- 2 exponentiation  $(u, v) \mapsto (1 + 2u)^v$ ;
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet \end{tabular} & \mbox{negative powers, } u\mapsto (1+2u)^{-n}; \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- division  $(u, v) \mapsto \frac{u}{1+2v}$ .

#### Derivations of T-functions

Derivations of T-functions are defined in the same way as in classical Calculus. Note that as a T-function is a 1-Lipschitz function w.r.t. 2-adic metric, *the derivative must be a 2-adic integer* (provided the derivative exists).

Definition 1 (uniform differentiability modulo  $2^M$ )

Given  $M \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ , a T-function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is called uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^M$  iff there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that once  $||h||_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^K}$ (that is, once  $h \equiv 0 \pmod{2^K}$ ), for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , the congruence

 $f(x+h) \equiv f(x) + f'_M(x) \cdot h \pmod{2^{ord_2h+M}}$ 

holds. The minimum K = K(M) is denoted via  $N_M(f)$ .

Here  $ord_2(h) = -\log_2 |h|_2$  is just the length of the longest 0-prefix in representation of h as an infinite binary word.

#### Derivations of T-functions

Derivations of T-functions are defined in the same way as in classical Calculus. Note that as a T-function is a 1-Lipschitz function w.r.t. 2-adic metric, *the derivative must be a 2-adic integer* (provided the derivative exists).

Definition 1 (uniform differentiability modulo  $2^M$ )

Given  $M \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ , a T-function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is called uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^M$  iff there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that once  $||h||_2 \leq \frac{1}{2^K}$ (that is, once  $h \equiv 0 \pmod{2^K}$ ), for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , the congruence

$$f(x+h) \equiv f(x) + f'_M(x) \cdot h \pmod{2^{ord_2h+M}}$$

holds. The minimum K = K(M) is denoted via  $N_M(f)$ .

Here  $ord_2(h) = -\log_2 |h|_2$  is just the length of the longest 0-prefix in representation of h as an infinite binary word.

#### Classes of differentiability

- From the definition of uniform differentiability modulo  $2^M$  it readily follows that the derivative modulo  $2^M$  is defined up to a summand which is 0 modulo  $2^M$ . Furthermore, it can be proved that a derivative modulo  $2^M$  is a periodic function with a period of length  $2^{N_M(f)}$ .
- It is obvious that if a T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo 2<sup>M+1</sup> then it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2<sup>M</sup>. So, we have a hierarchy of classes of uniform differentiability,

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 \supset \mathfrak{D}_2 \supset \mathfrak{D}_3 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{D}_{\infty},$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  is the class of all T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^i$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}$  is a class of all uniformly differentiable T-functions. It turns out that the T-functions of major interest to cryptography, the invertible ones, all lie in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$ ; i.e., they all are uniformly differentiable modulo 2.

### Classes of differentiability

- From the definition of uniform differentiability modulo  $2^M$  it readily follows that the derivative modulo  $2^M$  is defined up to a summand which is 0 modulo  $2^M$ . Furthermore, it can be proved that a derivative modulo  $2^M$  is a periodic function with a period of length  $2^{N_M(f)}$ .
- It is obvious that if a T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^{M+1}$  then it is uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^M$ . So, we have a hierarchy of classes of uniform differentiability,

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 \supset \mathfrak{D}_2 \supset \mathfrak{D}_3 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{D}_\infty,$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  is the class of all T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^i$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}$  is a class of all uniformly differentiable T-functions. It turns out that the T-functions of major interest to cryptography, the invertible ones, all lie in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$ ; i.e., they all are uniformly differentiable modulo 2.

### Classes of differentiability

- From the definition of uniform differentiability modulo  $2^M$  it readily follows that the derivative modulo  $2^M$  is defined up to a summand which is 0 modulo  $2^M$ . Furthermore, it can be proved that a derivative modulo  $2^M$  is a periodic function with a period of length  $2^{N_M(f)}$ .
- It is obvious that if a T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^{M+1}$  then it is uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^M$ . So, we have a hierarchy of classes of uniform differentiability,

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 \supset \mathfrak{D}_2 \supset \mathfrak{D}_3 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{D}_\infty,$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  is the class of all T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo  $2^i$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}$  is a class of all uniformly differentiable T-functions. It turns out that the T-functions of major interest to cryptography, the invertible ones, all lie in  $\mathfrak{D}_1$ ; i.e., they all are uniformly differentiable modulo 2.

# Bijectivity and transitivity modulo $2^n$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_2$

The compatibility implies that given a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , the map  $f \mod 2^n : z \mapsto f(z) \mod 2^n$  is a well-defined transformation of the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$ ; actually the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n$  is a T-function on *n*-bit words.

• Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is said to be bijective (resp., transitive) modulo  $2^n$  iff it is invertible (resp. transitive) on *n*-bit words; that is, iff the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n \colon \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  is a permutation (resp., a permutation with the only cycle, of length  $2^n$ ) on the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ 

#### Definition 2 (Bijectivity, transitivity)

We say that a T-function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is bijective iff it is bijective modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we say that f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Actually the definition is a theorem that is proved in the p-adic ergodic theory.

# Bijectivity and transitivity modulo $2^n$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_2$

The compatibility implies that given a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , the map  $f \mod 2^n : z \mapsto f(z) \mod 2^n$  is a well-defined transformation of the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$ ; actually the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n$  is a T-function on *n*-bit words.

• Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is said to be bijective (resp., transitive) modulo  $2^n$  iff it is invertible (resp. transitive) on *n*-bit words; that is, iff the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n \colon \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  is a permutation (resp., a permutation with the only cycle, of length  $2^n$ ) on the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ 

#### Definition 2 (Bijectivity, transitivity)

We say that a T-function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is bijective iff it is bijective modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we say that f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Actually the definition is a theorem that is proved in the p-adic ergodic theory.

# Bijectivity and transitivity modulo $2^n$ and on $\mathbb{Z}_2$

The compatibility implies that given a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , the map  $f \mod 2^n : z \mapsto f(z) \mod 2^n$  is a well-defined transformation of the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}$ ; actually the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n$  is a T-function on *n*-bit words.

• Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is said to be bijective (resp., transitive) modulo  $2^n$  iff it is invertible (resp. transitive) on *n*-bit words; that is, iff the reduced map  $f \mod 2^n \colon \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  is a permutation (resp., a permutation with the only cycle, of length  $2^n$ ) on the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ 

#### Definition 2 (Bijectivity, transitivity)

We say that a T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is bijective iff it is bijective modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we say that f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Actually the definition is a theorem that is proved in the  $\ensuremath{\textit{p}}\xspace$ -adic ergodic theory.

In the p-adic ergodic theory the following assertions are proved:

### Theorem 3 (Bijectivity/transitivity conditions)

- If a T-function f: Z<sub>2</sub> → Z<sub>2</sub> is bijective then it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2 and its derivative modulo 2 is 1 everywhere: f'<sub>1</sub>(x) ≡ 1 (mod 2) for all x ∈ Z<sub>2</sub> (equivalently, for all x ∈ Z/2<sup>N<sub>1</sub>(f)</sup>Z).
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 2. Then f is bijective iff f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)}$  and  $f'_1(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  everywhere. Equivalently: if and only if f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)+1}$ .
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Then f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^{N_2(f)+2}$ .

For instance, the Klimov-Shamir T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee 5)$  is transitive since f is uniformly differentiable,  $N_2(f) = 2$ ; so it suffices to check whether the residues modulo 16 of  $0, f(0), f^2(0) = f(f(0)), \ldots, f^{15}(0)$  are all different. This can readily be verified by direct calculations.

In the p-adic ergodic theory the following assertions are proved:

### Theorem 3 (Bijectivity/transitivity conditions)

- If a T-function f: Z<sub>2</sub> → Z<sub>2</sub> is bijective then it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2 and its derivative modulo 2 is 1 everywhere: f'<sub>1</sub>(x) ≡ 1 (mod 2) for all x ∈ Z<sub>2</sub> (equivalently, for all x ∈ Z/2<sup>N<sub>1</sub>(f)</sup>Z).
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 2. Then f is bijective iff f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)}$  and  $f'_1(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  everywhere. Equivalently: if and only if f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)+1}$ .

• Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Then f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^{N_2(f)+2}$ .

For instance, the Klimov-Shamir T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee 5)$  is transitive since f is uniformly differentiable,  $N_2(f) = 2$ ; so it suffices to check whether the residues modulo 16 of  $0, f(0), f^2(0) = f(f(0)), \ldots, f^{15}(0)$  are all different. This can readily be verified by direct calculations.

In the p-adic ergodic theory the following assertions are proved:

### Theorem 3 (Bijectivity/transitivity conditions)

- If a T-function f: Z<sub>2</sub> → Z<sub>2</sub> is bijective then it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2 and its derivative modulo 2 is 1 everywhere: f'<sub>1</sub>(x) ≡ 1 (mod 2) for all x ∈ Z<sub>2</sub> (equivalently, for all x ∈ Z/2<sup>N<sub>1</sub>(f)</sup>Z).
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 2. Then f is bijective iff f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)}$  and  $f'_1(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  everywhere. Equivalently: if and only if f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)+1}$ .
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Then f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^{N_2(f)+2}$ .

For instance, the Klimov-Shamir T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee 5)$  is transitive since f is uniformly differentiable,  $N_2(f) = 2$ ; so it suffices to check whether the residues modulo 16 of  $0, f(0), f^2(0) = f(f(0)), \ldots, f^{15}(0)$  are all different. This can readily be verified by direct calculations.

In the p-adic ergodic theory the following assertions are proved:

### Theorem 3 (Bijectivity/transitivity conditions)

- If a T-function f: Z<sub>2</sub> → Z<sub>2</sub> is bijective then it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2 and its derivative modulo 2 is 1 everywhere: f'<sub>1</sub>(x) ≡ 1 (mod 2) for all x ∈ Z<sub>2</sub> (equivalently, for all x ∈ Z/2<sup>N<sub>1</sub>(f)</sup>Z).
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 2. Then f is bijective iff f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)}$  and  $f'_1(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  everywhere. Equivalently: if and only if f is bijective modulo  $2^{N_1(f)+1}$ .
- Let a T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Then f is transitive iff f is transitive modulo  $2^{N_2(f)+2}$ .

For instance, the Klimov-Shamir T-function  $f(x) = x + (x^2 \vee 5)$  is transitive since f is uniformly differentiable,  $N_2(f) = 2$ ; so it suffices to check whether the residues modulo 16 of  $0, f(0), f^2(0) = f(f(0)), \ldots, f^{15}(0)$  are all different. This can readily be verified by direct calculations.

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and a 2-adic integer  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , consider the *i*-th coordinate sequence  $(\delta_i(f^j(x_0))_{j=0}^{\infty})$ .

- The sequence satisfies recurrence relation δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j+2i</sub>) ≡ δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j</sub>)+1 (mod 2), 0, 1, 2, ...; that is, the second half of the period of the *i*-th coordinate sequence is bitwise negation of the first half;
- So the shortest period (which is of length  $2^{i+1}$ ) of the sequence is completely determined by its first  $2^i$  bits.

Given *arbitrary* T-function f, the first half's of periods of coordinate sequences should be considered as independent, in the following meaning:

#### Theorem 4 (the independence of coordinate sequences)

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and a 2-adic integer  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , consider the *i*-th coordinate sequence  $(\delta_i(f^j(x_0))_{j=0}^{\infty})$ .

- The sequence satisfies recurrence relation δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j+2<sup>i</sup></sub>) ≡ δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j</sub>)+1 (mod 2), 0, 1, 2, ...; that is, the second half of the period of the *i*-th coordinate sequence is bitwise negation of the first half;
- So the shortest period (which is of length  $2^{i+1}$ ) of the sequence is completely determined by its first  $2^i$  bits.

Given *arbitrary* T-function f, the first half's of periods of coordinate sequences should be considered as independent, in the following meaning:

Theorem 4 (the independence of coordinate sequences)

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and a 2-adic integer  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , consider the *i*-th coordinate sequence  $(\delta_i(f^j(x_0))_{j=0}^{\infty})$ .

- The sequence satisfies recurrence relation δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j+2<sup>i</sup></sub>) ≡ δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j</sub>)+1 (mod 2), 0,1,2,...; that is, the second half of the period of the *i*-th coordinate sequence is bitwise negation of the first half;
- So the shortest period (which is of length  $2^{i+1}$ ) of the sequence is completely determined by its first  $2^i$  bits.

Given *arbitrary* T-function f, the first half's of periods of coordinate sequences should be considered as independent, in the following meaning:

Theorem 4 (the independence of coordinate sequences)

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and a 2-adic integer  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , consider the *i*-th coordinate sequence  $(\delta_i(f^j(x_0))_{j=0}^{\infty})$ .

- The sequence satisfies recurrence relation δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j+2<sup>i</sup></sub>) ≡ δ<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>j</sub>)+1 (mod 2), 0, 1, 2, ...; that is, the second half of the period of the *i*-th coordinate sequence is bitwise negation of the first half;
- So the shortest period (which is of length  $2^{i+1}$ ) of the sequence is completely determined by its first  $2^i$  bits.

Given *arbitrary* T-function f, the first half's of periods of coordinate sequences should be considered as independent, in the following meaning:

#### Theorem 4 (the independence of coordinate sequences)

Given *arbitrary* T-function f, the first half's of periods of coordinate sequences should be considered as independent, in the following meaning:

#### Theorem 4 (the independence of coordinate sequences)

Given a set  $S_0, S_1, S_2, \ldots$  of binary sequences  $S_i = (\zeta_j)_{j=0}^{2^i-1}$  of length  $2^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , there exists a transitive T-function f and a 2-adic integer  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that each first half of each *i*-th coordinate sequence is the sequence  $S_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ :  $\delta_i(f^j(x_0)) = \zeta_j$ , for all  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^i - 1$ .

#### The essence of our contribution:

If a transitive T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo 4 then its coordinate sequences can not be considered as independent: there are linear relations among them.

#### Main results

### Linear dependencies

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and the initial state  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., x_i = f^i(x_0)$ , denote by  $\chi_n^i = \delta_i(f^n(x_0))$  the *n*-th digit in the canonic 2-adic expansion of the *n*-th iterate of  $x_0$ . Our first result yields that if a transitive T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo 4 then *two* adjacent coordinate sequences satisfy a linear relation:

#### Main results

### Linear dependencies

Given a transitive T-function  $f: \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and the initial state  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., x_i = f^i(x_0)$ , denote by  $\chi_n^i = \delta_i(f^n(x_0))$  the *n*-th digit in the canonic 2-adic expansion of the *n*-th iterate of  $x_0$ . Our first result yields that if a transitive T-function is uniformly differentiable modulo 4 then *two* adjacent coordinate sequences satisfy a linear relation:

#### Theorem 5 (Linear relation between two adjacent coordinate sequences)

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

The length of the shortest period of the binary sequence  $(y(i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is  $2^{K}$ ,  $0 \leq K \leq N_{2}(f)$ . Furthermore, y(i) does not depend on n.

 Note that if a T-function is transitive then by Theorem 3 it is uniformly differentiable modulo 2; so conditions of the above theorem are not too restrictive: we only demand the T-function to lie in the second large differentiability class D<sub>2</sub>.

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

The length of the shortest period of the binary sequence  $(y(i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is  $2^{K}$ ,  $0 \leq K \leq N_{2}(f)$ . Furthermore, y(i) does not depend on n.

As both polynomial T-functions (the ones represented by polynomials over Z<sub>2</sub>) and the Klimov-Shamir T-function (of the form x + (x<sup>2</sup> ∨ C), C ∈ Z) are uniformly differentiable (thus, lie in D<sub>∞</sub> and whence in D<sub>2</sub>), the above theorem could be considered as a generalization of results due to Wang and Qi, and to Molland and Helleseth.

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

- The class of transitive T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo 4 is wide: for instance, it includes
  - all T-functions  $f(x) = u(x) + 4 \cdot v(x)$  and  $f(x) = u(x + 4 \cdot v(x))$ , where u is a transitive T-function that is uniformly differentiable modulo 4 and v is an *arbitrary* T-function.

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

- The class of transitive T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo 4 is wide: for instance, it includes
  - all T-functions of the form f(x) = 1 + x + 2(g(x+1) g(x)) where g is a bijective T-function

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 4. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_2(f) + 1$  the following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-1}} \equiv \chi_{n-1}^i + \chi_n^i + \chi_{n-1}^0 + \chi_n^0 + \chi_n^{2^{n-1}} + y(i) \pmod{2}.$$
 (1)

- The class of transitive T-functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo 4 is wide: for instance, it includes
  - more specific functions, exponential functions of the form  $f(x) = ax + a^x$ , where  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ; rational functions of the form  $f(x) = \frac{u(x)}{1+4 \cdot v(x)}$ , where u is a transitive polynomial and v is arbitrary T-function.

### Quadratic dependencies

Our second result yields that if a T-function lies in the third largest differentiability class  $\mathfrak{D}_3$  then there exists a quadratic relation among *three* adjacent coordinate sequences:

#### Theorem 6 (Quadratic relation among three adjacent coordinate sequences)

Let a transitive T-function f be uniformly differentiable modulo 8. Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , for all  $n \ge N_3(f) + 2$  following congruence holds:

$$\chi_n^{i+2^{n-2}} \equiv \chi_{n-2}^i \chi_{n-1}^i + \theta(n,i)(\chi_{n-2}^i + \chi_{n-1}^i) + \chi_n^i + y_{n,i} \pmod{2}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\theta(n,i) \in \{0,1\}$ . Furthermore, the length of the shortest period of binary sequences  $(\theta(n,i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(y_{n,i})_{i=0}^{\infty}$  are factors of  $2^{N_3(f)}$ .

Theorem 6 may be considered as a generalization of a result of Luo and Qi, who proved quadratic relation for the Klimov-Shamir T-function.

<u>Mathematics</u>: We have proved that a vast body of transitive T-functions exhibit linear and quadratic weaknesses: we found a linear and a quadratic relation that are satisfied by output sequences generated by univariate transitive T-functions that constitute a very vast class  $\mathfrak{D}_2$ .

Earlier relations of this sort were known only for T-functions of two special types: for the Klimov-Shamir T-function  $x + (x^2 \lor C)$  and for polynomials with integer coefficients. The class  $\mathfrak{D}_2$  is much wider: it contains rational functions, exponential functions as well as their various compositions with bitwise logical operations.

**Applications:** On the base of methods we have developed, it can be proved that similar relations hold in output sequences of corresponding classes of *multivariate* T-functions as well as in output sequences of T-function-based counter-dependent generators; the latter are generators with a recursion law of the form  $x_{i+1} = f_i(x_i)$ .

Primitives of both types, the multivariate T-function-based ordinary generators and T-function-based counter-dependent generators, are used in stream ciphers, e.g., in ASC, TF-i, TSC, and in ABC. Therefore the relations we have found may be used to construct attacks against ciphers of this kind.

# Thank you !

