

Book of Abstracts

Seventh International Conference of the CIS IHSS on humic innovative technologies "Humic substances and technologies for resilience" (HIT-2022) November 18–21, 2022 Sailing Club "Vodnik", Moscow Region, Russia

Non-Commercial Partnership "Center for Biogenic Resources "Humus Sapiens"" (NP CBR "Humus Sapiens")

http://www.humus.ru/hit-2022



Department of Chemistry, Lomonosov MSU



Siberian State Medical University



CIS Regional Branch of the CIS International Humic Society



Non-profit partnership 'Expert-analytical center on the problems of organogenic raw materials "Humus Sapiens"

International Union Of Pure And Applied Chemistry

Crossref DOI: http://doi.org/10.36291/HIT.2022 Moscow – 2022

The effect of humic acid and chitosan on coagulation of iron(III) hydroxide by NaCl

Lasareva E.V., Parfenova A.M.

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Department of Chemistry, Moscow, Russia, parf_07@mail.ru

Keywords: iron hydroxide, humic acid, chitosan, river-sea zone

https://doi.org/10.36291/HIT.2022.043

Boundary zones play an important role in ocean ecosystems, as zones of considerable biogeochemical activity [1]. The river-sea transition zone is of particular importance due to the increased anthropogenic impact on river ecosystems. Transformation of the forms of riverine matter (dissolved, colloidal and suspended) in this zone significantly affects the state of marine ecosystems. The colloidal component of river runoff practically has not been studied, in contrast to the dissolved and suspended forms.

This work is part of our research on the transport of riverine matter (clays, carbonates) to the sea and is aimed at studying the influence of electrolytes and dissolved organic matter (DOM) on the stability of colloidal iron [2].

The variety of factors affecting the processes in the river-sea zone required the study of the transfer process in laboratory conditions. Iron(III) hydroxide sol - (Fe(OH)₃) (particle size 10-30 nm) in NaCl solutions was chosen as a model system. Humic acid (HA) separated from coal (leonardite) (Powerhumus, Humintech Ltd.) was chosen as a model of transformed DOM of river water. Biopolymer chitosan (Ch), 83 kDa, 82% deacetylation degree, chloride form (Bioprogress Co) was a model of fresh DOM (flocculant) released in situ in the river-sea area. The sol was prepared by FeCl₃ hydrolysis under boiling. The size of Fe(OH)₃ particles was determined with a Zetatrac analyzer (Microtrac Inc., USA).

The aggregative stability of the sol was shown to remain constant until the salt concentration of 18‰, after which the particle size increased sharply from 10 to 500 nm. The presence of HA does not significantly affect the behavior of the sol. The addition of a Ch solution (50 mg/l) to the sol leads to a loss of its stability at 3‰ NaCl, while the lower concentrations of Ch (10 mg/l) do not affect the behavior of the sol. That is consistent with our previous studies in which the introduction of freshwater bacteria in the sol caused an increase in its particle size at 5‰ NaCl, which can be explained by the flocculation of the sol by active substances, released during the viral lysis of bacteria [2].

The sol behavior changes significantly if a Ch solution was added to Fe(OH)₃ pre-modified with HA, the sol stability in this case was maintained up to 18‰ NaCl. HA is likely to create a protective layer on the iron hydrosol particles, which reduces the flocculation activity of Ch. It was determined that about 50% of the total iron carried by rivers is deposited in the river-sea mixing zone. According to our data, HA can facilitate the transport of colloidal iron to the outer part of the mixing zone even in the presence of flocculants (fresh DOM), capable of depositing it in the inner part of the mixing zone.

The work was carried out within the framework of the state task "Colloid chemistry" (CITIS no. 121031300084-1), and "Ecology" (CITIS no. 122040600057-3).

Acknowledgements. Authors thank colleagues from Shirshov Institure of Oceanology of RAS for the cooperative work on the study of river-sea transition zone.

References

- 1. Lasareva et al. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 2019, v. 124, № 6, pp.1651-1664. DOI: 10.1029/2019JG005026.
- 2. Lasareva et al. Oceanology, 2017, v. 57, № 4, pp. 520-529. DOI: 10.1134/S0001437017040130.