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#### Abstract

In the experiment $272 \pm 49$ atoms consisting of $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$mesons were observed The atoms were produced in a Ta target by 70 GeV protons and $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$mesons were detected from the atom break-up in the same target.


## 1. Introduction

In all processes with emission of opposite charge particles production of Coulomb bound states (atoms) is possible. Production of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atoms (and of other hadronic atoms) in inclusive processes was considered and a method of their observation and lifetıme measurement was proposed [1]. The atoms are produced in S-states with the cross section
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{n}^{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{A}}}=(2 \pi)^{3} \frac{E_{\mathrm{A}}}{M_{\mathrm{A}}}\left|\Psi_{n}(0)\right|^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{0}}{\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{p}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{p}_{2}}$,
where $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{A}}, E_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{A}}$ are the momentum, energy and mass of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atom ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ ) in the lab system, respectively, $\left|\Psi_{n}(0)\right|^{2}=P_{\mathrm{B}}^{3} / \pi n^{3}$ is the atomic wave function squared at the origin with the principal quantum number $n$ and the orbital momentum $l=0$, $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Bohr momentum in $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}, \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{0} / \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{p}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{p}_{2}$ is the
double inclusive production cross section for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs from short lived sources without taking into account $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$Coulomb interaction in the final state, $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$momenta in the lab system. The momenta of $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$mesons obey the relation $p_{1}=\boldsymbol{p}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{A}}$. The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ are produced in atomic states with different principal quantum numbers $n$ and are distributed according to $n^{-3}: W_{1}=83 \%$, $W_{2}=10.4 \%, W_{3}=3.1 \%, W_{n \geqslant 4}=3.5 \%$.

The lifetıme $\tau_{n}$ of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ with the principal quantum number $n$ and $l=0$ is determined by the charge-exchange process $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ and may be written through the S-wave $\pi \pi$ scattering lengths $a_{0}$ and $a_{2}$ with isospin values 0 and 2 [2]:
$\frac{1}{\tau_{n}}=\frac{8 \pi}{9}\left(\frac{2 \Delta m}{\mu}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(a_{0}-a_{2}\right)^{2}\left|\Psi_{n}(0)\right|^{2}$,
where $\Delta m=M_{\mathrm{A}}-2 m_{\pi^{0}}, m_{\pi^{0}}$ is the $\pi^{0}$-meson mass
and $\mu$ is the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ reduced mass. The lifetıme dependence on $n$ is determined by the value of $\left|\Psi_{n}(0)\right|^{2}$ and from (2) one obtains $\tau_{n}=\tau_{1} \cdot n^{3}$.

It follows from (2) that the $\tau_{1}$ measurement with $10 \%$ precision would allow to determine $\left|a_{0}-a_{2}\right|$ in a model independent way with $5 \%$ precision.
In chiral perturbation theory [3-5] one finds [4] $a_{0}=(0.20 \pm 0.01) m_{\pi}^{-1}, a_{2}=(-0.042 \pm 0.002) m_{\pi}^{-1}$. Inserting these values in (2) $\tau_{1}$ can be calculated: $\tau_{1}=(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~s}$.

## 2. $A_{2 \pi}$ detection method and setup description

In the present paper we describe the experiment of the observation of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atoms carried out at the 70 GeV proton synchrotron (U-70) at Serpukhov. Pionic atoms and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs ("free" pairs) were produced in a $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick tantalum target ("thick" target) inserted into the internal proton beam. The atoms can either annihulate into $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ pars or break up (ionize) into $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs ("atomic" pairs) inside the same target. The "free" and "atomic" pairs get into the 40 m long vacuum channel (the acceptance is $3.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{sr}$ ) at $8.4^{\circ}$ to the proton beam and are detected by the setup in the $0.8-2.4 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ pion momentum interval.

The number of "atomic" parss depends on the atom lifetime $\tau$, the break-up cross section and the target thickness. Assumıng $\tau_{1}=3.7 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~s}$ the annıhilation length of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ in the 1 S -state at $\gamma=10$ is $11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ mean free path in Ta is $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ independent of the $\gamma$-factor for $\gamma>6$. In this experiment 8 atoms on average were generated per $10^{11} p-\mathrm{Ta}$ interactions into the setup acceptance and $\sim 40 \%$ of the atoms broke up in the target into "atomic" pars detected by the setup. The checking measurements were carried out with a $1.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick tantalum target ("thin" target), where only $\sim 10 \%$ of the atoms broke up.

Pions in "atomic" pairs have small relative momenta $Q<3 \mathrm{MeV} / c$, and therefore approximately equal energies $E_{+} \approx E_{-}$in the lab system and a small opening angle $\Theta_{1,2} \approx 6 / \gamma \mathrm{mrad}$.

The experimental setup shown in fig. 1 has a relative momentum resolution of about $1 \mathrm{MeV} / c$. The channel is connected to the accelerator vacuum pipe without any partition and is shielded against the ac-


Fig. 1 Experimental setup (a) channel scheme: $p-1$ internal proton beam, Target - target mechanısm, Col - collımator, MS magnetic sheld, (b) magnet and detectors $\mathbf{M}$ - poles of spectrometer magnet, VC - vacuum chamber, DC - drift chambers, H - scintillation hodoscopes, $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{\mu}$ - scintillation counters, C gas Cherenkov counters, Absorber - cast-1ron absorber, MC monitor counters
celerator and Earth magnetic fields. The channel ends with a vacuum chamber placed between the spectrometer magnet poles ( $B=0.85 \mathrm{~T}$ ).

Charged particles were detected by the telescopes $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. The track coordinates were measured by drift chambers. The time interval between detector hits in $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ was measured by scintillation hodoscopes. Electrons and positrons were rejected by gas Cherenkov counters, and muons by scintillation counters placed behind absorbers. Besides $\pi$ mesons other charged hadrons were also detected. Monitoring of the proton-target interactions was carried out by $\gamma$-flux measurements. The number of proton-target interactions was $7 \times 10^{8}$ per 0.7 s spill, and the detector counting rate $\sim 10^{5}$ per spill.

The first level trigger was formed by the coincidence signal $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mu 1}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mu 2}\right)$ (see fig. 1). A special processor selected tracks having small angles to the channel axis in a vertical plane and a vertical coordınate difference $\left|Y_{1}-Y_{2}\right| \leqslant 80 \mathrm{~mm}$. The number of events per spill written to magnetic tape was about 90 . The total statistics contains $1.3 \times 10^{7}$ events using "thick" and "thın" targets.

The measurements and simulation allowed to obtain the setup resolution on the momentum $\sigma_{p} /$ $p=0.008$, on the vertical plane angle of deviation from the target direction $\sigma_{\varphi 1}=\sigma_{\varphi 2}=1.2 \mathrm{mrad}$ and on
the angle between particles at the magnet entrance $\sigma_{\theta 1,2}=0.1 \mathrm{mrad}$. Also were obtained the distributions of "atomic" pairs on the $Q$ projections to the $\boldsymbol{p}=\boldsymbol{p}_{1}+\boldsymbol{p}_{2}$ direction ( $Q_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) and to the plane perpendicular to $p\left(Q_{T}\right)$. The distributions of the $Q_{L}$ and of the $Q_{T}$ components $Q_{X}$ and $Q_{Y}$ are Gaussian-like and have the standard deviations $\sigma_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}}=1.3 \mathrm{MeV} / c$, $\sigma_{Q_{X}}=\sigma_{Q_{Y}}=0.60 \mathrm{MeV} / c$ for the "thick" target and $\sigma_{Q_{L}}=1.3 \mathrm{MeV} / c, \sigma_{Q_{X}}=\sigma_{Q_{y}}=0.44 \mathrm{MeV} / c$ for the "thin" target. The momentum resolution and all standard deviations are averaged in $0.8-2.4 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ pion momentum range.

## 3. Data processing

At data processing the space reconstruction of events was fulfilled. The corrections on the residual magnetic field in the channel and on the horizontal component of the spectrometer magnet field were taken into account. The particle momenta and the track coordinates at the magnet entrance were calculated under the assumption that the particles came from the target. The angles $\varphi_{y_{1}}$ and $\varphi_{y_{2}}$ in $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ between the track projections and the direction towards the target were also determined. The FWHM of the $\varphi_{y_{1}}$ and $\varphi_{y_{2}}$ distributions for particles coming from the target is $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{rad}$ (fig. 2) in accordance with sımulation. Pairs originating in the target


Fig. 2. Distribution of $\pi^{-}$mesons from time-correlated $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs over the vertical plane deviation from the target direction. The peak is formed by $\pi^{-}$mesons produced in the target The smooth background is caused by $\pi^{-}$mesons from $K$ decays near the spectrometer magnet
were selected by applying a cut $\varphi_{y} \leqslant 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{rad}$, where $\varphi_{y}=\left(\varphi_{y_{1}}^{2}+\varphi_{y_{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and by some other requirements.

The selected events are distributed in the time difference $t_{\mathrm{H}}$ between hits of the hodoscopes as shown in fig. 3. The distribution contains a true coincidence peak ( $\sigma=0.8 \mathrm{~ns}$ ) and a uniform background from accidental coincidences. The intervals $\Delta t_{1}=\Delta t_{3}=8.0 \mathrm{~ns}$ were used to determine the number of accidental events $N_{\mathrm{a}}$ in the signal region, and the interval $\Delta t_{2}=2.56 \mathrm{~ns}$ to obtain the sum $N_{\text {ta }}$ of true and accidental events. In the interval $\Delta t_{2}$ the ratio of true to accidental events is 0.36 .

The true coincidences $N_{\mathrm{t}}$ are caused mainly by $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pars produced in the target. The fraction of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs generated in the accelerator vacuum pipe and in the beryllium target holder was measured to be less than $3 \%$ of the total number of $N_{t}$. The measurements and simulation have shown that $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs from $K^{+}, K^{-}$and $K_{L}^{0}$ decays are strongly re-


Fig. 3. Distribution of the time difference between hits in the hodoscopes The peak is formed by time-correlated $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs, and the uniform background is due to accidental coincidences.
duced by cutting on $\varphi_{y}$ and that number is about $10^{-2}$ $\times N_{\mathrm{t}}$. The admixtures of $K^{+} K^{-}$and $p \bar{p}$ pairs are equal to $10^{-4} N_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $5 \times 10^{-3} N_{\mathrm{t}}$, respectively. The $e^{+} e^{-}$pair admixture due to some inefficiency of the Cherenkov countersis $6 \times 10^{-3} N_{\mathrm{r}}$. The $\pi^{+} K^{-}, \pi^{-} K^{+}$, $\pi^{+} \bar{p}$ and $\pi^{-} p$ pairs are absent in the true coincidence peak because the $\pi, K$ and $p$ times of flight from the target to the hodoscopes are essentially different.

From the above it follows that the number of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs is more than $97 \%$ of the total number of true events detected.

In order to get a better seperation of the "atomı" from the "free" pairs we analyzed the distribution of the events in the variable $F$ instead of $Q$ :
$F=\left[\left(\frac{Q_{\mathrm{L}}}{\sigma_{Q_{\mathrm{L}}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{Q_{X}}{\sigma_{Q_{X}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{Q_{Y}}{\sigma_{Q_{X}}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
The true event distribution in $F$ (and in other variables) was found from the obvious relation
$\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{d} F}=\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{ta}}}{\mathrm{d} F}-\left(\frac{\Delta t_{2}}{\Delta t_{1}+\Delta t_{3}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{d} F}$.
The distribution (4) was fitted for $F>3$ (where "atomic" pairs are absent) by an approxımating distribution. The number of "atomic" pairs is then determined by the difference between the number of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs in the interval $F<2$ and the corresponding number of "free" pairs, obtained for $F<2$ by an extrapolation of the curve fitted to the data in the region $F>3$.

## 4. Approximation procedure for the $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-}$pair distribution

To obtain the approximation of the "free" pair distribution we have taken as a base the accidental $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pair distribution $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{a}}^{\pi \pi} / \mathrm{d} F \equiv \Phi(F)$ because the latter and the true $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pair distribution $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}}^{0} / \mathrm{d} F$, without taking into account the final state interaction, should have the same shape. This follows from the fact that both distributions are proportional to the product of the single inclusive production cross sections of $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$mesons [6]. So $\mathrm{d} N_{i}^{0} / \mathrm{d} F$ equals to $\Phi(F)$. The distribution $\Phi(F)$ was obtained from the accidental event distribution $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{d} F$ by subtracting the $\pi^{-} p$ and $\pi K$ accidental pairs, their con-
tribution was found with the Lund model. The fraction of protons in the momentum interval 0.8-2.4 $\mathrm{GeV} / c$ is calculated to be $N_{p} / N_{\pi}=0.65$. This relative number of protons was measured in the interval $0.8 \leqslant p \leqslant 1.4 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ and coincides with Lund model calculations to within $5 \%$. The fraction of $K$-mesons relative to pions is below $0.3 \%$.
The distribution $\Phi(F)$ is a sum of the parr distribution $\Phi(F) W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)$ from short lived sources (pairs from direct processes and from decays of $\rho, \omega, \varphi, \ldots$ ) and of the pair distribution $\Phi(F)$ [1- $\left.W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)\right]$ from long lived sources (one or both $\pi$ mesons arise from $\eta$ or $K_{S}^{0}$ decays). Here the weight $W_{S}(F)$ is the probability that two pions in an accidental pair originate from short lived sources. It was calculated with the Lund model.

The typical size of the pion production region in the case of short lived sources is $1-3 \mathrm{fm}$ which is much smaller than the Bohr radius of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atom ( $r_{\mathrm{B}}=387 \mathrm{fm}$ ). Thus the Coulomb interaction in the final state was taken into account by multiplying $\Phi(F) W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)$ with the Coulomb factor $A_{\mathrm{C}}(\beta)$ [7]. The corrections caused by the strong interaction were not introduced into this distribution because the corresponding correlation function in the analyzed interval of $F$ is constant [8]. The pion pairs from long lived sources do not interact in the final state, and therefore no correction to the distribution $\Phi(F) \times$ [ $1-W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)$ ] was applied.

The Coulomb interaction has a strong influence on the "free" pair production cross section from short lived sources at $Q<10 \mathrm{MeV} / c$. The Coulomb factor $A_{\mathrm{C}}(\beta)$ [7] depends on the relative velocity $\beta$ of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$parr in its CMS:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{\mathrm{C}}(\beta)=2 \pi \eta /[\exp (2 \pi \eta)-1], \\
\eta=-\alpha / \beta, \quad \alpha=\frac{1}{137} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The relativistic corrections to $A_{C}(\beta)$ under the present experımental conditions do not exceed $0.5 \%$ [9]. An observation of the Coulomb interaction effect in the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$system was realized in the experiment [8] carried out with the present setup, and this effect was confirmed later [10]. Henceforth we call the pairs from short lived sources "Coulomb" pairs and those from long lived sources "decay" parrs.

From the above we can write the approximating distribution in the form
$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{d} F}=q \Phi(F)\left\{W_{\mathrm{S}}(F) A_{\mathrm{C}}(\beta)+f 1-W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)\right]\right\}$,
where $q$ is a normalization factor, $f_{1}$ a free parameter which accounts for the uncertainty of $W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)$. With such a description of the data the particle production dynamics as well as the setup efficiency are taken into account. In the analysis interval $0 \leqslant F \leqslant 40$ the deviation of $W_{\mathrm{S}}(F)$ from a constant is only a few percent, and hence it is well-founded to write the approximation function as
$\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{d} F}=q \Phi(F)\left[A_{\mathrm{C}}(\beta)+f_{1}\right]$,
where $f_{1}$ accounts for the "decay" pair fraction.

## 5. Determination of the $\mathbf{A}_{2 \pi}$ number

The distributions $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{d} F$ for "thick" and "thin" targets are shown as points with errors in figs. 4 a and 5 a and contain $5.9 \times 10^{4}$ and $4.4 \times 10^{4}$ events, respectively, in the interval $0 \leqslant F \leqslant 40$. To improve the statistical precision the distributions for "thick" and "thin" targets were fitted jointly by the distribution (6) because the parameter $f$ does not depend on the target thickness. The parameter value was found to be $f=1.8 \pm 0.3$. The fitting distributions ( 6 ) for events obtained for "thick" and "thin" targets are also presented in figs. 4 a and 5 a as histograms. The ratios of the experimental distribution to the fit for the "thick" and "thın" targets are shown in figs. 4 b and 5 b .
The following numbers of excessive parrs for the "thick", $n_{A}^{\text {tk }}$, and the "thin" target, $n_{A}^{\text {tn }}$, in the interval $F \leqslant 2$ were obtained:
$n_{A}^{\mathrm{k}}=272 \pm 49, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=1.28$,
$n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{m}}=35 \pm 41, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=0.75$.
The excessive pair number for the "thin" target, normalized to the proton interaction number for the "thick" target, is $n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tn}}=47 \pm 55$.

We carried out a comparison of the excessive pair number (7) with the expected number of "atomic" pars. In this analysis the "Coulomb" pair numbers, experimentally obtained for $Q<2 \mathrm{MeV} / c$,
$N_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{tk}}=983 \pm 54, \quad N_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{th}}=757 \pm 42$,


Fig 4. (a) Experımental distribution of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs produced in the "thick" target as a function of $F$ (points with errors) and approximating distribution of "free" pairs on the same variable (dashed histogram) (b) The ratio of the experimental to the approximating distribution. The deviation of the ratio from unity in the two first bins is due to extra pairs originating from ionization of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ in the target matter.
were used as follows.
The ratio of the produced atom number to the "Coulomb" pair number in the interval $Q<2 \mathrm{MeV}$ / $c$ was found by a numerical integration of (1) and of the Coulomb pair distribution in this interval, taking into account the multiple scattering in the target and the setup resolution
$N_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}=0.97 N_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{tk}}, \quad N_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tn}}=0.95 N_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{tn}}$.
The break-up probability of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ in the target was calculated using ionization and excitation cross sections [11], the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \pi}$ lifetime $\tau_{1}=3.7 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~s}$ and the quantum number distribution of the produced $A_{2 \pi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\mathrm{tk}}=0.384, \quad W^{\mathrm{tn}}=0.105 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in the present experimental conditions $W^{\text {tk }}$ depends only weakly on $\tau_{1}, W^{\text {tk }}\left(\tau_{1}=2 \times 10^{-15}\right.$


Fig. 5. The same distributions as in figs. 4 a and 4 b but for the "thin" target. The absence of extra pairs in the first two bins is caused by the low $\mathbf{A}_{2 \pi}$ ionization probability in the "thin" target.
s) $=0.35, W^{\text {tk }}\left(\tau_{1}=6 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~s}\right)=0.40$, and $W^{\text {tn }}$ does practically not depend on $\tau_{1}$.

It was calculated that $71 \%$ and $74 \%$ of the "atomic" pairs produced in the "thick" and "thin" target, respectively, lie in the interval $F \leqslant 2$. Then we obtain the following expected "atomic" pair numbers:
$n_{A}^{\text {tk }}=260 \pm 14, \quad n_{A}^{\text {tn }}=56 \pm 3$.
The errors in (11) reflect only the precision in the "Coulomb" pair number.

The excessive parr number in the interval $0 \leqslant F \leqslant 1$ ( $n_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ ) and in the interval $1 \leqslant F \leqslant 2\left(n_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right)$ are
$n_{1 \mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}=106 \pm 19, \quad n_{2 \mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}=166 \pm 43$,
$n_{1 \mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{tn}}=23 \pm 16, \quad n_{2 \mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{tn}}=12 \pm 36$.
The ratio $R_{A}^{\text {exp }}$ of $n_{2 A}^{\text {tk }}$ to $n_{1 \mathrm{~A}}^{\text {tk }}$ is in agreement with the corresponding calculated ratio $R_{A}^{\text {calc }}$ for the 1 S state, but differs from the corresponding one for the "free" pairs, $R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text {exp }}$ :
$R_{A}^{\text {exp }}=1.56 \pm 0.47, \quad R_{A}^{\text {calc }}=2.2$,
$R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{exp}}=5.09 \pm 0.30$.
$R_{\mathrm{f}}^{\exp }$ was obtaned by averaging this ratio for both targets.
The presence of excessive $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs for the "thick" target, their absence for the "thin" target (7) and the agreement of the observed excess of parrs with the expected value in the full interval $F \leqslant 2$ (11) as well as in the two separate intervals (13) allow us to conclude that the observed excessive $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs in the "thick" target arose from the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atoms produced and broken up in the same target.

## 6. Test of the stability of the result

We tested the influence of uncertainties in the setup resolution and the $e^{+} e^{-}$pair admixture, of the $F$ interval width in the analysis, of the $\pi^{-} p$ admixture and of the approximating distribution type on the "atomic" pair number selected.

The setup resolution influences the shape of the "atomıc" and "free" pair distributions. The uncertainty of the resolution in $p$ and $\Theta_{1,2}$ cited above is $10 \%$. It was shown that a $10 \%$ simultaneous resolution variation leads to a $4.3 \%$ change in $n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}$.

The influence of the $e^{+} e^{-}$pair admıxture in the distribution $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{d} F$ (that is $0.6 \%$ in this experiment) on the number of "atomic" pairs was checked by adding to this distribution a $2 \%$ and $4 \%$ admıxture of $e^{+} e^{-}$parrs relative to the total number of analyzed events. The corresponding changes in $n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}$ are $0.5 \%$ and $2.5 \%$, respectively. Therefore one can conclude that a small admixture of $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs does not affect the final results.

The number of "atomic" pairs remains constant within $5 \%$ if the fit interval is enlarged from $F \leqslant 20$ to $F \leqslant 100$.

A change of $n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{tk}}$ was also obtained when replacing in (6) the distribution $\Phi(F)$ by $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{d} F$, i.e. the $\pi^{-} p$ and $\pi K$ admixtures were not subtracted from the accidental events:
$n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\text {t. }}=267 \pm 49, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=1.21$,
$n_{\mathrm{A}}^{\text {tn }}=29 \pm 41, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=0.81$.
To evaluate the influence of the model dependent
function $W_{\mathbf{S}}(F)$ on the "atomic" pair number the analysis was performed with the formula (6a) where one neglects the difference in the production dynamics of "Coulomb" and "decay" parrs:
$n_{A}^{\text {tk }}=268 \pm 49, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=1.28$,
$n_{A}^{\text {tn }}=31 \pm 41, \quad \overline{\chi^{2}}=0.76$.
By comparing (7) with (14) and (15) we conclude that the number of "atomic" pairs does not depend on corrections calculated with the Lund model.

## 7. Conclusions

In the present experiment we observed $272 \pm 49$ $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$atoms produced in an inclusive process. The method to observe new hadronic atoms proposed in ref. [1] was successfully applied.

It is worthwhile to note that fitting the distribution $\mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{d} F$ by the function (6a) and determining the term $f_{1}$ allows to obtain a relation between the number of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs generated from short lived and long lived sources. The relative number of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs from long lived sources, determined in the present experiment, is ( $26 \pm 5$ ) \% of the total number of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs produced in the target. The same value determined by means of the Lund model amounts to $17 \%$. The applicability of this method to separate $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ pairs generated from short lived and long lived sources was indicated in our previous publication [8].

Later [12] the necessity was pointed out to take into account the different sources of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs (short lived and long lived) when Coulomb corrections are introduced into experimental distributions of identical pions.

## Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank J. Schacher for the interest in this work and helpful remarks.

## References

[1] L.L Nemenov, Yad Fiz 41 (1985) 980 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1985) 629].
[2] J Uretsky and J. Palfrey, Phys. Rev 121 (1961) 1798
[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev Lett. 17 (1966) 616; 18 (1967) 188, Phys. Rev 166 (1968) 1568; Physica A 96 (1979) 327
[4] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. 158 (1984) 142
[5] J. Gasser and H Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465, 517, 539.
[6] V.G. Grishin, Inclusive processes in hadron interactions at high energy (Energoizdat, Moscow, 1982) p. 131 [in Russian]
[7] A.D. Sakharov, Zh Eksp Teor. Fiz. 18 (1948) 631.
[8] L G. Afanasyev et al., Yad Fiz. 52 (1990) 1046 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 52 (1990) 666], Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 146.
[9] V.N. Bayer and V.S. Fadın, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fız. 57 (1969) 225
[10] L.K. Wiencke et al , Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 3708.
[11] A V. Tarasov and I.U. Christova, Commun. JINR P2-9110 (Dubna, 1991)
[12] M.G. Bowler, Phys. Lett. B 270 (1991) 69.

