

MOTION OF AN ELECTRON IN A HOMOGENEOUS MAGNETIC FIELD, WITH THE REACTION OF THE EMISSION INCLUDED

A. V. Borisov and Yu. V. Grats

The article gives a solution of the classical Dirac equation for a point-like electron in a homogeneous magnetic field. The solution is obtained in the form of a power series of a characteristic small parameter. The solution is compared with the results of other scientists.

The problem of electron motion in a magnetic field was previously considered with proper regard for the emission-induced reaction [1, 2]. The solution of the classical Dirac equation in a homogeneous magnetic field was determined in [1], but, as indicated by the author, the approximation is valid only for sufficiently small eigentimes

$$\tau \ll \left(\frac{mc^2}{E_0} \right)^2 T,$$

where $T \sim m^3 c^5 / e^4 H^2$ and E_0 denotes the initial energy of the particle.

An approximating equation (see [3], p.274) was used from the very beginning in [2]. Obviously, when this approach is used, the accuracy of the solution is necessarily limited. It is therefore interesting to consider the accurate equation and to use perturbation theory for obtaining a solution which has any desired degree of accuracy.

We base our considerations on the classical Dirac equation for a point-like electron (see, for example, [4]):

$$m\dot{u}_\mu = \frac{e}{c} F_{\mu\nu} u_\nu + \gamma m \left(\ddot{u}_\mu - \frac{1}{c^2} a^2 u_\mu \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = (2/3)(e^2/mc^3)$; $F_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the tensor of the external electromagnetic field; $u_\mu = \dot{x}_\mu$ denotes the four-dimensional velocity; and $a^2 = \dot{u}_\mu \dot{u}_\mu$ denotes the square of the four-dimensional acceleration. Throughout the present article, a dot is used to denote a derivative with respect to the eigentime. A metric with an imaginary force component of four-dimensional vectors is used in the present article.

Assume the magnetic field to be parallel to the z-axis. Thus, when Eq. (1) is resolved into components, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_x &= -\omega_0 u_y + \gamma \left(\ddot{u}_x - \frac{1}{c^2} a^2 u_x \right), & \dot{u}_y &= \omega_0 u_x + \gamma \left(\ddot{u}_y - \frac{1}{c^2} a^2 u_y \right), \\ \dot{u}_z &= \gamma \left(\ddot{u}_z - \frac{1}{c^2} a^2 u_z \right), & \dot{\varepsilon} &= \gamma \left(\ddot{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{c^2} a^2 \varepsilon \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_0 = e_0 H / mc$ ($e = -e_0 < 0$ denotes the charge of the electron); and ε denotes the particle energy expressed in multiples of mc^2 . It follows from the last two equations of (2) that the z component of the three-dimensional velocity is constant: $v_z = c\beta_{z0}$ and, hence, we have for the four-dimensional velocity:

$$u_z = c\beta_{z0}\varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

We look for a solution for $u_x(\tau)$ and $u_y(\tau)$ in the form*

*The results of [1, 2] point to a solution of this type; this solution follows also from the physics involved.

Lomonosov State University. Translated from *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii Fizika*, No. 3, pp. 87-90, March, 1972. Original article submitted December 15, 1970.

© 1974 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

$$u_x(\tau) = u_{\perp}(\tau) \cos \varphi(\tau), \quad u_y(\tau) = u_{\perp}(\tau) \sin \varphi(\tau), \quad (4)$$

where

$$u_{\perp}(\tau) = c\beta_{\perp 0} e^{-\psi(\tau)} [1 - \beta_{z0}^2 - \beta_{\perp 0}^2 e^{-2\psi(\tau)}]^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $\beta_{\perp 0} = \sqrt{\beta_x^2(0) + \beta_y^2(0)}$ denoting the initial value of the velocity component which is perpendicular to the magnetic field. We obtain the following equation system for the functions ψ and φ :

$$\dot{\psi} = \gamma(\dot{\varphi}^2 + \ddot{\varphi} - \dot{\psi}^2 \operatorname{cth}(\psi + a)), \quad \dot{\varphi} = \omega_0 + \gamma[\ddot{\varphi} - \dot{\varphi}\dot{\psi}(1 + \operatorname{cth}(\psi + a))] \quad (5)$$

with the initial conditions $\psi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, where a is given by the formula

$$\operatorname{cth} a = 2(1 - \beta_{z0}^2) \varepsilon_0^2 - 1, \quad (6)$$

and ε_0 denotes the initial energy. We introduce new functions ξ and η which are related to ψ and φ by the formulas

$$\dot{\psi} = \delta \dot{\xi}, \quad \dot{\varphi} = \omega_0 \eta, \quad (7)$$

where $\delta = \gamma\omega_0^2$. By switching to the new independent variable $s = \operatorname{cth}(\psi + a)$, we obtain for $\xi(s)$ and $\eta(s)$

$$\xi = \eta^2 + \lambda \xi [(1 - s^2) \xi' - s \xi], \quad \eta = 1 + \lambda \xi [(1 - s^2) \eta' - (1 + s) \eta], \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda = \gamma^2 \omega_0^2$. Obviously, λ is a small parameter. As a matter of fact, even at fields of the order of the critical field [4], we have $\lambda \sim 10^{-6}$. It is therefore logical to determine a solution of equation system (8) in the form of an expansion in powers of λ :

$$\xi(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n P_n(s), \quad \eta(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n Q_n(s). \quad (9)$$

By substituting this expansion into Eq. (8), we obtain recursion relations for the functions P_n and Q_n :

$$P_n = Q_n + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-1} \{Q_{n-1-\kappa} Q_{\kappa} + P_{n-1-\kappa} [(1 - s^2) P_{\kappa}' - s P_{\kappa}]\}, \quad (10)$$

$$Q_n = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-1} P_{n-1-\kappa} [(1 - s^2) Q_{\kappa}' - (1 + s) Q_{\kappa}], \quad P_0(s) \equiv Q_0(s) \equiv 1.$$

It is easy to infer from these formulas that P_n and Q_n are polynomials of order n in s . The following are formulas for the first two polynomials:

$$P_1(s) = -(2 + 3s), \quad Q_1(s) = -(1 + s), \quad P_2(s) = 2(1 + 10s + 10s^2), \quad (11)$$

$$Q_2(s) = 2 + 7s + 5s^2.$$

We obtain the following equations (see Eqs. (7) and (9)) for the initial functions ψ and φ :

$$\psi = \delta \left(\tau + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^{\tau} P_n(s) d\tau \right), \quad \varphi = \omega_0 \left(\tau + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^{\tau} Q_n(s) d\tau \right). \quad (12)$$

The solution of these equations is represented in the form of a power expansion in λ :

$$\psi = \delta \left(\tau + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n f_n(\tau) \right), \quad \varphi = \omega_0 \left(\tau + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n g_n(\tau) \right). \quad (13)$$

By substituting these expansions into Eq. (12), we obtain formulas for the functions f_n and g_n . The following are expressions for the first three functions:

$$f_1 = \int_0^{\tau} P_1(s_0) d\tau, \quad f_2 = \int_0^{\tau} [f_1 \dot{P}_1(s_0) + P_2(s_0)] d\tau, \quad (14)$$

$$f_3 = \int_0^{\tau} [f_2 \dot{P}_1(s_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f_1^2 \ddot{P}_1(s_0) + f_1 \dot{P}_2(s_0) + P_3(s_0)] d\tau,$$

where $s_0 = \operatorname{cth}(\delta\tau + a)$.

Similar formulas for g_n are obtained when $P_n(s_0)$ is replaced by $Q_n(s_0)$ (as above, the functions f_n remain in the expressions under the integral signs).

When we use Eqs. (11), (13), and (14), we can calculate first and second approximations:

$$f_1(\tau) = - \left(2\tau + 3\delta^{-1} \ln \frac{\text{sh}(\delta\tau + a)}{\text{sh} a} \right),$$

$$f_2(\tau) = 13\tau - 11\delta^{-1} [\text{cth}(\delta\tau + a) - \text{cth} a] + 6\tau \text{cth}(\delta\tau + a) + \delta^{-1} [14 + 9 \text{cth}(\delta\tau + a)] \ln \frac{\text{sh}(\delta\tau + a)}{\text{sh} a}. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$g_1(\tau) = - \left(\tau + \delta^{-1} \ln \frac{\text{sh}(\delta\tau + a)}{\text{sh} a} \right),$$

$$g_2(\tau) = 4\tau - 2\delta^{-1} [\text{cth}(\delta\tau + a) - \text{cth} a] + 2\tau \text{cth}(\delta\tau + a) + \delta^{-1} [5 + 3 \text{cth}(\delta\tau + a)] \ln \frac{\text{sh}(\delta\tau + a)}{\text{sh} a}. \quad (16)$$

In the zeroth approximation in λ , we obtain the well-known solution of [2]. Let us consider the limit cases of small and large τ . We obtain from Eqs. (13), (15), and (16) for $\delta\tau \ll a$

$$\psi(\tau) = \delta\tau [1 - \lambda(2 + 3 \text{cth} a) + 2\lambda^2(1 + 10 \text{cth} a + 10 \text{cth}^2 a) + \dots],$$

$$\varphi(\tau) = \omega_0\tau [1 - \lambda(1 + \text{cth} a) + \lambda^2(2 + 7 \text{cth} a + 5 \text{cth}^2 a) + \dots]. \quad (17)$$

In the case $\delta\tau \gg a$, we obtain

$$\psi(\tau) = \delta\tau(1 - 5\lambda + 42\lambda^2 + \dots), \quad \varphi(\tau) = \omega_0\tau(1 - 2\lambda + 14\lambda^2 + \dots). \quad (18)$$

It follows from Eq. (17) that this expansion is useful if

$$\lambda \text{cth} a \ll 1.$$

The second term of the expansion of Eq. (17) is then smaller than the first term.

In the case of a two-dimensional motion ($\beta_{z0} = 0$) of an ultra-relativistic particle ($\varepsilon_0 \gg 1$), the latter condition assumes the form $\varepsilon_0 \ll \lambda^{-1/2}$ in accordance with Eq. (6). For fields $H \sim 10^4$ Oe this inequality is valid to energies $E_0 = \varepsilon_0 mc^2 \ll 10^{-7}$ GeV. The classical description is limited by the energy $E_0 \ll 10^5$ GeV for a given H .

Let us consider the nonrelativistic limit when $\text{cth} a \approx 1$. We have in this case

$$\psi(t) = \delta t(1 - 5\lambda + 42\lambda^2 + \dots), \quad \varphi(t) = \omega_0 t(1 - 2\lambda + 14\lambda^2 + \dots), \quad (19)$$

which coincides with Eq. (18). This is not surprising because for $\delta\tau \gg a$, the particle loses a large portion of its energy and becomes nonrelativistic. Equation (19) is an expansion of the accurate solution of the nonrelativistic equation, taking into account the emission-induced reaction (solution obtained in [1]). The solution can be written in our notation as follows:

$$\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2\gamma} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + 16\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\},$$

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2\gamma} \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + 16\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

An expansion of this solution in powers of λ results in Eq. (19).

In conclusion, the authors thank professor A. A. Sokolov for formulating the problem and for discussing the results.

LITERATURE CITED

1. G. N. Plass, Rev. Mod. Phys., **33**, 37 (1961).
2. G. E. Gernet, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **168**, No. 1, 63 (1966).
3. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, Field Theory [in Russian], Nauka (1967).
4. A. A. Sokolov and I. M. Ternov (editors), Synchrotron Radiation [in Russian], Nauka (1966).