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In the paper we obtain the bifurcation sets for a family of Liouville integrable Hamiltonian systems with the additional integral of fourth degree.

To the 150th Anniversary of S. V. Kovalevskaya

## 1. Introduction

Among classical papers, concerning integration of equations of motion of a rigid body about a fixed point and motion of a body in fluid, we would like to quote two ones with the additional integrals of fourth degree: the papers by Kovalevskaya [23] and by Chaplygin [7]. It is known that the general solution of the system of six Euler-Poisson equations in the Kovalevskaya case is very complicated, it is expressed in terms of ultraelliptic functions. Therefore, degenerate cases of this solution, when the ultraelliptic integrals become elliptic, are of particular interest. Degeneration of quadratures results in the fact that some polynomial related to separation of variables (in the Kovalevskaya case it is the polynomial of fifth degree) has multiple roots for some values of integrals. Particular motions, corresponding to these values of the first integrals, were first found by Appelrot [1]. More detailed analysis of these motions was carried out by Ipatov [14]. It turned out [18] that they are precisely Appelrot classes that correspond to the cases of dependence between first integrals. If we know the conditions, under which the first integrals are dependent, in other words, the bifurcation set, we can profitably study degenerate cases without separation of variables, since each class of degenerate motions has its intrinsic properties. For the Kovalevskaya case this method was successfully realized by Dokshevich [8, 9, 10, 11]. Finally, using Morse-type theory for integrable Hamiltonian systems obtained by Bolsinov and Fomenko [4] it is possible to clarify the behavior of the system as a whole in terms of some invariants. This theory also enables us to describe change of qualitative behavior of integral trajectories during bifurcations of so-called Liouville tori. The complete classification of Kovalevskaya-type dynamical systems up to Liouville equivalence was given by Bolsinov, Fomenko and Richter [5].

Thus, the condition of multiplicity of roots of some polynomials allows us to write down explicit equations for bifurcation curves and then to integrate the system along the bifurcation curves. This explicit integration helps us to give a description of local behavior of the system near the bifurcation set, and thereafter of global behavior of the system. This approach can be used even in integrable problems, for which separation of variables is not found.

In the original paper [7] Chaplygin considered a problem that describes a particular case of motion of a rigid body in a perfect incompressible fluid. The fluid is unbounded in all directions and is at rest at infinity; the body is bounded by a simply-connected surface. We assume that the body and the fluid are under the gravitation force, we also assume that the weight of the body is equal to the weight

[^0]of the fluid displaced. In terms of dimensionless variables this motion is described by the following system of the Kirchhoff equations:
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{s}_{1}=-s_{3} s_{2}-c r_{2} r_{3}+\delta r_{3}, & \dot{r}_{1}=s_{2} r_{3}-2 s_{3} r_{2}, \\
\dot{s}_{2}=s_{3} s_{1}-c r_{1} r_{3}-\gamma r_{3}, & \dot{r}_{2}=2 s_{3} r_{1}-s_{1} r_{3},  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{s}_{3}=2 c r_{1} r_{2}+\gamma r_{2}-\delta r_{1}, & \dot{r}_{3}=s_{1} r_{2}-s_{2} r_{1},
\end{array}
$$
\]

where $c, \delta, \gamma$ are some constants. Here the vectors $\vec{s}, \vec{r}$ are called the momentum and the momentum force.

The first integrals of these equations have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}=r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}=f, & \text { (geometric inte } \\
f_{2}=s_{1} r_{1}+s_{2} r_{2}+s_{3} r_{3}=g, & \text { (area integral) } \\
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+2 s_{3}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(c\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \gamma r_{1}+2 \delta r_{2}\right)=h . & \text { (Hamiltonian) }
\end{array}
$$

Chaplygin [7] showed that if the area integral is equal to zero, then the system (1.1) has the additional integral

$$
F=\left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}+c r_{3}^{2}-2 \gamma r_{1}+2 \delta r_{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(s_{1} s_{2}-\gamma r_{2}-\delta r_{1}\right)^{2}=k .
$$

If $c=0$, then the area integral is not necessarily zero, and we come to the problem on motion of a rigid body about a fixed point in the Kovalevskaya case. Bifurcations of the first integrals in this problem were studied by Kharlamov [18].

The papers by Kharlamov [15, 16, 17], by Rubanovsky [26], and by Yehia [28] are devoted to integrable cases of motion of a rigid body bounded by a multiply-connected surface in fluid. Under the conditions as before this motion can be described in terms of dimensionless variables by the following Kirchhoff-type system of equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{s}_{1}=-\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right) s_{2}-c r_{2} r_{3}+\delta r_{3}, & \dot{r}_{1}=s_{2} r_{3}-2 s_{3} r_{2}, \\
\dot{s}_{2}=\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right) s_{1}-c r_{1} r_{3}-\gamma r_{3}, & \dot{r}_{2}=2 s_{3} r_{1}-s_{1} r_{3},  \tag{1.2}\\
\dot{s}_{3}=2 c r_{1} r_{2}+\gamma r_{2}-\delta r_{1}, & \dot{r}_{3}=s_{1} r_{2}-s_{2} r_{1} .
\end{array}
$$

The parameter of the gyrostatic momentum $\lambda$ can be related to the circulation of the fluid through the holes inside the body. As before, the vectors $\vec{s}, \vec{r}$ are called the momentum and the momentum force.

The first integrals of these equations have the form

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
f_{1}= & r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}=f, & \text { (geometric integral) } \\
f_{2}= & s_{1} r_{1}+s_{2} r_{2}+\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right) r_{3}=0, & \text { (area integral) } \\
H= & \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+2 s_{3}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(c\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \gamma r_{1}+2 \delta r_{2}\right)=h, & \text { (Hamiltonian) } \\
F= & \left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}+c r_{3}^{2}-2 \gamma r_{1}+2 \delta r_{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(s_{1} s_{2}-\gamma r_{2}-\delta r_{1}\right)^{2}+ & \\
& +8 \lambda\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right)\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}\right)-8 \lambda r_{3}\left\{s_{1}\left(2 \gamma+c r_{1}\right)+s_{2}\left(2 \delta-c r_{2}\right)\right\}=k . & \text { (additional integral) }
\end{array}
$$

Note that the additional integral $F$ was also found by Yehia [28].
If $c=0$, then the area integral is not necessarily zero, and we come to the problem on motion of a heavy gyrostat under the Kovalevskaya conditions imposed on the distribution of mass. The papers [13] and [20] are devoted to the topological analysis of this problem.

Notice that with the help of some linear changes of variables, time, and parameters, we can make the value $f$ of the geometric integral and the constant $c$ equal to 1 . These changes do not influence on the topological analysis of the problem, and in what follows we take $f=1, c=1$.

The systems (1.1) and (1.2) are Hamiltonian on the orbit $M^{4}=\left\{f_{1}=1, f_{2}=0\right\}$. The additional integrals are almost everywhere independent with the Hamiltonians, therefore, the systems are complete integrable by Liouville. According to the Liouville-Arnold theorem [2], the nonsingular compact common level of the first integrals is a union of tori filled with quasi-periodic trajectories. After going through the singular levels of the first integrals, these tori bifurcate in some manner.

In the paper we study topology of energy surfaces $Q_{h}^{3}=\{H=2 h\}$ and obtain the bifurcation sets, we also describe bifurcations of Liouville tori in the Chaplygin problem and its generalization. For some values of the parameters we calculate the Fomenko invariant and partially the Fomenko-Zieschang invariant.

The author expresses his deep gratitude to O. E. Orel for useful advices and discussions.

## 2. Necessary definitions

The momentum mapping is a mapping $\Phi: M^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ that assigns to a point on the manifold the pair of values of the functions $H$ and $F$ at this point: $x \rightarrow(H(x), F(x))$. Obviously, a Liouville torus is mapped into a single point. The set of singularities of the momentum mapping is the set of points of $M^{4}$, at which the functions $H$ and $F$ are dependent: $K=\left\{x \in M^{4}: \operatorname{rank} d \Phi(x)<2\right\}$. The image $\Sigma=\Phi(K)$ of this set is called the bifurcation set. By $Q_{h}^{3}=\left\{x \in M^{4} \mid H(x)=h\right\}$ we denote the energy surface. In what follows we assume that this surface is nonsingular and compact.

In [12] Fomenko obtained the Morse-type theory for integrable Hamiltonian systems. In the framework of this theory global behavior of a system on an energy surface can be described with the help of some graph. The edges of the graph (=molecule) correspond to one-parameter families of nonsingular Liouville tori, and its vertices (=atoms) describe bifurcations of these tori on singular levels of the integral $F$. This graph is denoted by $W\left(Q_{h}^{3}\right)$ and called the Fomenko invariant. Besides, if we complete the molecule $W$ with some numer-


Fig. 1 ical marks $r_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, n_{k}$, we obtain the Fomenko-Zieschang invariant, or the marked molecule, which is denoted by $W^{*}$. In particular, the numerical marks $r_{i}$ describe the rules of gluing of Liouville tori on the edges of the molecule.

The simplest bifurcations (atoms) are denoted by the characters $A, B, A^{*}$ : the bifurcation $A$ characterizes degeneration of a torus into a circle, $B$ denotes splitting of a torus into two ones (or, conversely, gluing of two tori), and $A^{*}$ - the complicated bifurcation of a torus to another torus. Besides, in integrable problems with some symmetries one can meet atoms $C_{2}$ and $P_{4}$. The first of them describes a symmetric bifurcation of two tori to two tori, and the second - a symmetric bifurcation of two tori to four tori. The atoms $C_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ are illustrated in Fig. 1.

In what follows we use a notation $\Sigma(\lambda)$ for the bifurcation set; therefore, $\Sigma(0)$ is a bifurcation set for the classical Chaplygin problem [7].

Further notions and definitions are related to stationary points of the systems (1.1) and (1.2). The rank of a point $x \in M^{4}$ is the rank of the momentum mapping $\operatorname{rank} d \Phi(x)$ at this point. Let $x \in M^{4}$ be a point of zero rank, and suppose $\Phi(x)=(k, h)$. Let $U$ be a small regular neighborhood of the point $(k, h)$ such that its boundary $\partial U$ intersects the bifurcation diagram transversely at minimal number of points. The loop molecule $W\left(\Phi^{-1}(\partial U)\right)$ completely describes topology of Liouville foliation in the neighborhood of the point of zero rank. The zero-rank multiplicity of the point $(k, h)$ is a number of points of zero rank in the preimage $\Phi^{-1}(k, h)$. In the paper [6] all saddle-saddle singularities of zero-rank multiplicity


Fig. 2. Loop molecule with the atoms $C_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ two are classified with the help of the l-type introduced in [3]. The results are represented in the form of the list of loop molecules. One of this molecule that contains atoms $C_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ is illustrated in Fig. 2. We will meet this molecule in what follows.

## 3. Topology of $Q_{h}^{3}$

We describe the topology of $Q_{h}^{3}$ according to the values of the parameters $(\delta, \gamma)$ in the case, when the parameter $\lambda$ is zero. Figure 3 demonstrates the domains of the same topological type of $Q_{h}^{3}$ : a) if $\delta=\gamma=0$, then it is equal to $\varnothing$ for $h<-\frac{1}{2}$, to $2 S^{3}$ for $-\frac{1}{2}<h<0, S^{1} \times S^{2}$ for $0<h<\frac{1}{2}$, to $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ for $\frac{1}{2}<h$; b) if $\delta=0$ or $\gamma=0$, then it is equal to $S^{3}, 2 S^{3}, S^{1} \times S^{2}, \mathbb{R} P^{3}$ (in the case $\gamma=0$ the separating curves are marked off by bold lines in Fig. 5); c) if ( $\delta, \gamma) \in A$, then it is equal to $\left.S^{3}, \mathbb{R} P^{3} ; \mathrm{d}\right)$ if $(\delta, \gamma) \in B \cup C$, then it is equal to $S^{3}, 2 S^{3}, \mathbb{R} P^{3} ;$ e) if $(\delta, \gamma) \in D$, then it is equal to $S^{3}, 2 S^{3}, S^{1} \times S^{2}, \mathbb{R} P^{3}$. Note that the surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ is never diffeomorphic to the connected sum ( $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ )\# ( $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ ), which is typical for the Kovalevskaya case. Probably, the reason is that our problem is integrable only at zero area integral. However, the gyrostatic momentum $\lambda$ gives rise to the manifold $N^{3}=\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$, as is shown in the following theorem.


Fig. 3

Theorem 1. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\delta=\gamma=0$, then the energy surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ has the following topological type: $2 \mathbb{S}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, $N^{3}=\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$, and $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$. The topological types of $Q_{h}^{3}$ and the separating curves (marked off by bold lines) are illustrated in Fig. 6.
Proof.
Topological type of the energy surface $Q_{h}^{3}=\{H=h\}$ can be studied with the help of the projection $\pi$ onto the Poisson sphere $S^{2}=\left\{r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}=1\right\}$ (see [27, 19, 25]). In our case, this projection takes the surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ onto a domain on the Poisson sphere given by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\lambda}(r) \leqslant h, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda^{2} r_{3}^{2}}{2-r_{3}^{2}} .
$$

Here the surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ is stratified over this domain with the circle fiber contracted to a point over the boundary.

The function $\varphi_{\lambda}(r)$ is the Morse function on the sphere. The critical points and the values of the function at these points are the following:

1. $r_{2}=r_{3}=0, r_{1}^{2}=1, \varphi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{1}{2}$,
2. $r_{1}=r_{3}=0, r_{2}^{2}=1, \varphi_{\lambda}(r)=-\frac{1}{2}$,
3. $r_{2}=r_{1}=0, r_{3}^{2}=1, \varphi_{\lambda}(r)=\lambda^{2}$,
4. $r_{2}=0, r_{1}^{2}=1-r_{3}^{2}, 1-\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{\left(2-r_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}}=0, \quad \varphi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{1}{2}-(1-\lambda)^{2}, \lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, $\varphi_{\lambda}(r)=\frac{1}{2}-(1+\lambda)^{2}, \lambda \in\left[-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right]$.

The lines $h= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and the parabolas $h=\lambda^{2}, h=\frac{1}{2}-(1-\lambda)^{2}, \lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], h=\frac{1}{2}-(1+\lambda)^{2}, \lambda \in$ $\in\left[-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right]$, form the bifurcation diagram in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}(\lambda, h)$ (see Fig. 6). It is symmetric with respect to the line $\lambda=0$. The points $A$ and $C$ have the following coordinates: $A\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right), C\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

On the line $h=-\frac{1}{2}$ the critical points have index 0 . On the line $h=\frac{1}{2}$ they have index 2 between the points of contact of the line and the parabolas $h=\frac{1}{2}-(1 \pm \lambda)^{2}$, and index 1 otherwise. On the parabola $h=\lambda^{2}$ the index is equal to 1 between the points of contact of the parabola and the parabolas $h=\frac{1}{2}-(1 \pm \lambda)^{2}$, it is equal to 2 otherwise. Consider the segment $A C$ of the parabola $h=\frac{1}{2}-(1-\lambda)^{2}$, $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Each point $\left(\lambda_{0}, h_{0}\right)$ of the parabola corresponds to four critical points of index 1 with the coordinates $\left(r_{1}^{0}, r_{2}^{0}, r_{3}^{0}\right)$, where $r_{2}^{0}=0,\left(r_{1}^{0}\right)^{2}=1-\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{2},\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{2}=2-2 \lambda_{0}$. We consider, for example, the point with $r_{3}^{0} \in(0,1)$. We take the pair $\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ as local coordinates in the neighborhood of this point. We put $r_{2}=x, r_{3}=y-r_{3}^{0}$, where $\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{2}=2-2 \lambda_{0}$. The expansion of the function $\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}$ in the neighborhood of this point has the form

$$
\varphi_{\lambda_{0}}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\left(\frac{2\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{2}}{2-\left(r_{3}^{0}\right)^{2}}\right) y^{2}+o\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we come to the conclusion required. With the help of indices of the critical points we obtain the topological type of domains (3.1) on the Poisson sphere: they are $\varnothing$, two disks $D^{2}$, the annulus $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$ (the disk $D^{2}$ with one hole), the disk $D^{2}$ with three holes, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. The corresponding surfaces $Q_{h}^{3}$ are homeomorphic to $2 \mathbb{S}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}, N^{3}=\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$, and $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$. Theorem is proved.

## 4. Bifurcation set and bifurcations of Liouville tori

The bifurcation set $\Sigma$ plays the fundamental role in the study of global behavior of integrable Hamiltonian systems. Here we give a review of the results concerned with the bifurcation set in the case, when the parameter of the gyrostatic moment $\lambda$ is equal to zero (the relevant proofs will be published in a separate paper).

Theorem 2. The bifurcation set $\Sigma(0)$ is
a) the union of the curves $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$, where

$$
\gamma_{1}: \quad k=0, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_{2}: \quad k=(2 h+1)^{2}, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_{3}: \quad k=(2 h-1)^{2}, \quad h \geqslant 0,
$$

if $\delta=\gamma=0$. A topological analysis of this case is carried out in [24].
b) the union of the curves $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}, \Gamma_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{1}: & k=0, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \\
\Gamma_{2}: & k=4 \gamma^{2}, \\
\Gamma_{3}: & k=(2 h+1)^{2}+4 \gamma^{2}, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \\
\Gamma_{4}: & k=(2 h-1)^{2}, \\
\Gamma_{5}: & k=\gamma^{2}\left(-\gamma^{2}+2-4 h\right),
\end{array}
$$

if $\delta=0$.
c) the union of the curves $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \Delta_{4}, \Delta_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta_{1}: & k=0, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \\
\Delta_{2}: & k=4 \delta^{2}, \\
\Delta_{3}: & k=(2 h-1)^{2}+4 \delta^{2}, \\
\Delta_{4}: & k=(2 h+1)^{2}, \\
\Delta_{5}: & k=\delta^{2}\left(-\delta^{2}+2+4 h\right),
\end{array}
$$

if $\gamma=0$. Qualitatively different bifurcation diagrams are illustrated in Fig.7, 8, 9 . d) the union of the curves $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}: \quad k=0, \quad h \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}-\delta^{2}}{\gamma^{2}+\delta^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{2}: \quad k=4\left(\delta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right), \quad h \geqslant \min \left\{\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 t+\gamma \cos t+\delta \sin t\right\} \\
& \sigma_{3}: \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h(t)=\gamma \delta \frac{\cos 2 t}{\sin 2 t}+\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right), \quad \text { where } \\
k(t)=\eta_{1}^{2}+4 \eta_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \eta_{1}=-2 \gamma \delta \frac{\cos ^{2} 2 t}{\sin 2 t}+\left(\gamma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) \cos 2 t+2 \cos 2 t(\gamma \cos t+\delta \sin t)-2 \gamma \cos t+2 \delta \sin t \\
& \eta_{2}=-\gamma \delta \cos 2 t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) \sin 2 t+\sin 2 t(\gamma \cos t+\delta \sin t)-\gamma \sin t-\delta \cos t
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\delta^{2}+\gamma^{2} \neq 0$. The examples of the bifurcation diagrams are demonstrated in Fig. 10, 11 .
Theorem 3. In all cases the bifurcation sets $\Sigma(0)$ are parts of surfaces of multiple roots of some polynomials.

We describe the Liouville foliation of the energy surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ in the case $\gamma=0$. The separating curves cut the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}(\delta, h)$ into domains, in which the additional integral $F$ has the Morse-Bott type [4]. These curves are illustrated in Fig. 5. At this figure, for each domain in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}(\delta, h)$ we indicate the pair $\left(Q_{h}^{3}, W\right)$, where $Q_{h}^{3}$ is an energy surface and $W$ is a molecule; these pairs are denoted by $\left(Q_{h}^{3}, i_{k}\right), k=\overline{1,13}$. There is a natural correspondence between the domains in Fig. 5 and the intervals on the energy axis in Fig. 7-9. The domain of number $i_{k}$ corresponds to the energy level $h=a_{k}$. The complete list of $\left(Q_{h}^{3}, W\right)$ is represented in Table 1.

We now turn to constructing the bifurcation set for nonzero values of the parameter $\lambda$. First we consider the case, when $\delta=\gamma=0$. To find the bifurcation set we need some simple propositions based on the analysis of right-hand sides of the system (1.2) (see also [24]).
Assertion 1. Any fixed point of the flow sgrad $H$ lies on the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$. Any closed trajectory of the flow $\operatorname{sgrad} H$ intersects the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$.

Proof.
We have $\dot{s}_{i}=0, \dot{r}_{i}=0, i=1,2,3$, at any fixed point of the flow. In particular, the third equation of the system (1.2) gives us $\dot{s}_{3}=2 r_{1} r_{2}=0$, whence $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$. We now consider an arbitrary closed trajectory. Since the coordinate $s_{3}$ depends on time periodically on this trajectory, there exists an instant of time $t_{0}$ such that $\dot{s}_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, by virtue of the third equation of the system we have $r_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ or $r_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.

Assertion 2. Any Liouville torus intersects the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$.
Proof.
We take an arbitrary point on the Liouville torus and issue the trajectory from it. This trajectory is either closed or everywhere dense on the torus. The coordinate $s_{3}$ depends on time periodically or almost periodically on this trajectory. Then there exists $t_{0}$ such that $\dot{s}_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, the trajectory (and, consequently, the Liouville torus) intersects the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$.

Corollary 1. Singular fibers of the Liouville foliation intersect the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$. Proof.

A singular fiber of the Liouville foliation has a fixed point or a closed trajectory. Therefore, it intersects the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$.

| $h$ | $Q_{h}^{3}$ | $W\left(Q_{h}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $A \longrightarrow A$ |
| $a_{2}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & A \end{aligned}>B-A$ |
| $a_{3}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ | ${ }_{A}^{A}>C_{2} \xlongequal{A}$ |
| $a_{4}$ | $2 \mathbb{S}^{3}$ |  |
| $a_{5}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ |  |
| $a_{6}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & A \end{aligned}>C_{2}<\begin{aligned} & B=A \\ & B=A \end{aligned}$ |
| $a_{7}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ |  |
| $a_{8}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A-A^{*}=C_{2}=A \\ & A=A \end{aligned}$ |
| $a_{9}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A>B-B< \\ & A \end{aligned}$ |
| $a_{10}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $A>C_{2} \curvearrowright A<B$ |
| $a_{11}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ | ${ }_{A}^{A}>C_{2}=B \underset{B}{=A}{ }_{A}^{-A}$ |
| $a_{12}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A-A^{*} \mp P_{4} \equiv A \\ & A-A^{*} A \\ & A \end{aligned}$ |
| $a_{13}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} A-A^{*} \\ A-C_{2}< & A^{*} \end{array}>\begin{aligned} & A \\ & A \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1.

Theorem 4. For $\delta=\gamma=0$ the bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is the union of curves $\gamma_{i}, i=\overline{1,5}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1}: \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=0, \\
h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2},
\end{array}\right. \\
\gamma_{2}: \quad k=-8 \lambda^{2}(2 h+1), \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \\
\gamma_{3}: \quad k=-8 \lambda^{2}(2 h-1), \quad h \geqslant \frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}, \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2} ; \\
& h \geqslant-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2}+2 \lambda, \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1 ; \quad h \geqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { if } \quad \lambda \geqslant 1, \\
\gamma_{4}: \quad & k=\left(2 h+1-2 \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}+\lambda^{2}, \\
\gamma_{5}: \quad & k=\left(2 h-1-2 \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad h \geqslant \lambda^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof.
We study the singularities of the system of the first integrals $f_{1}, f_{2}, H$, and $F$. To obtain the critical points of the momentum mapping we use the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank} J<4, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobi matrix of the mapping $H \times F \times f_{1} \times f_{2}$.
The condition (4.1) is valid if and only if all $\Delta_{i j k l}$ are equal to zero. Here $\Delta_{i j k l}$ are determinants of the matrices consisting of columns of the Jacobi matrix $J$ of numbers $1 \leqslant i<j<k<l \leqslant 6$.

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the system of the equations $\Delta_{i j k l}=0$ can be reduced to one of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right)+r_{2} r_{3}=0, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{1}=0,  \tag{4.4}\\
s_{2} r_{3}\left(1-s_{2}^{2}-4 \lambda s_{3}-2 \lambda s_{3}-s_{3}^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)+4 \lambda s_{3} r_{2}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In case (4.3) the corresponding critical values are $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{5}$. Note that for $h<-\frac{1}{2}$ the integral manifold $J_{h, k}=\left\{x \in M^{4}, H=2 h, F=k\right\}$ is empty. Suppose that the equalities (4.2), (4.4), (4.5) are valid. Taking into account the area integral, we transform (4.5) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{2}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)-s_{2} r_{3}\right) \cdot\left(s_{2}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}+4 \lambda s_{3}\right)=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce new variables:

$$
p_{1}=r_{2}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)-s_{2} r_{3}, \quad q_{1}=s_{2}^{2}-r_{3}^{2}+4 \lambda s_{3} .
$$

The values of the first integrals $H, F$ and the equation (4.6) in terms of the variables $\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
2 p_{1}^{2}-q_{1} & =2 h+2 \lambda^{2}+1,  \tag{4.7}\\
q_{1}^{2} & =16 \lambda^{2} h+8 \lambda^{2}+k,  \tag{4.8}\\
p_{1} \cdot q_{1} & =0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p_{1}=0$, then we have the segment of the parabola $\gamma_{4}$; if $q_{1}=0$, then we have the half-line $\gamma_{2}$, since the Hamiltonian is bounded from below.

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (4.1) is valid if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right)-r_{1} r_{3}=0, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{2}=0  \tag{4.12}\\
\left(r_{1}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)-s_{1} r_{3}\right) \cdot\left(s_{1}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}+4 \lambda s_{3}\right)=0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Under the conditions (4.10), (4.11) the critical values are $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{4}$.
Suppose that the equalities (4.10), (4.12), (4.13) are valid and introduce new variables

$$
p_{2}=r_{1}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)-s_{1} r_{3}, \quad q_{2}=s_{1}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}+4 \lambda s_{3}
$$

Then the values of the first integrals $H, F$ and the equation (4.13) in terms of the variables $\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
2 p_{2}^{2}-q_{2} & =2 h+2 \lambda^{2}-1  \tag{4.14}\\
q_{2}^{2} & =16 \lambda^{2} h-8 \lambda^{2}+k  \tag{4.15}\\
p_{2} \cdot q_{2} & =0 \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p_{2}=0$, then we have the segment of the parabola $\gamma_{5}$; and if $q_{2}=0$, then we have the halfline $\gamma_{3}$, since in this case the solutions are real only for $h \geqslant \frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}$ if $0<\lambda \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$; for $h \geqslant-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2}+2 \lambda$ if $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1$; and for $h \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ if $\lambda \geqslant 1$.

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=0, \quad s_{1} \neq 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right) r_{2}-s_{2} r_{3}=p_{1}, \\
\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right) r_{3}+s_{2} r_{2}=0, \quad \text { (area integral) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{2}=-r_{3} p_{1}  \tag{4.18}\\
s_{3}=-\lambda+r_{2} p_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the case $r_{3}=0$ leads to the general situation, which was studied above for $s_{2}=0$. Therefore, under the condition (4.17) the system $\Delta_{i j k l}=0$ is equivalent to the following system of equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=-\frac{2 \lambda r_{2}}{r_{3}^{2}}  \tag{4.19}\\
s_{1}^{2}=\frac{4 \lambda^{2}-r_{3}^{4}}{r_{3}^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) into the Hamiltonian $H$ and the additional integral $F$, we obtain the critical values:

$$
h=-\frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}+\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{r_{3}^{4}}, \quad k=-16 \lambda^{2}\left(\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{r_{3}^{4}}-\lambda^{2}-1\right)
$$

Eliminating the variable $r_{3}$, we come to the familiar relationship between $h$ and $k$ with the same restriction to the value of the energy $h$. The analysis of the condition $r_{2}=0, s_{2} \neq 0$ is carried out analogously.

To complete the proof we use the fact that all singular fibers of the Liouville foliation intersect the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$. Theorem is proved.

Corollary 2. The bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda) \backslash \gamma_{1}$ is a part of surfaces of multiple roots of the polynomials $R_{1,2}(p)$, where

$$
R_{1,2}(p)=\left(2 p^{2}-2 h \mp 1-2 \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}-16 \lambda^{2} h \mp 8 \lambda^{2}-k
$$

Proof.
We can obtain the polynomials $R_{1,2}(p)$ after eliminating the variable $q_{1}$ from the system (4.7), (4.8) and the variable $q_{2}$ from the system (4.14), (4.15). By virtue of (4.9), (4.16) the polynomials $R_{1,2}(p)$ have multiple roots.

We study the structure of the bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$. Since $\Sigma(\lambda)=\Sigma(-\lambda)$, we restrict ourselves to the case $\lambda>0$ (recall that the case $\lambda=0$ is studied in [24]). The coordinates of the points of intersections of the bifurcation curves are as follows: $\gamma_{1} \cap \gamma_{2,3}:(k, h)=\left(0, \mp \frac{1}{2}\right) ; \gamma_{1} \cap \gamma_{4,5}:(k, h)=$ $=\left(0, \mp \frac{1}{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) ; \gamma_{4} \cap \gamma_{5}:(k, h)=\left(1, \lambda^{2}\right)$. For $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$ the half-line $\gamma_{3}$ touches the parabola $\gamma_{5}$ at
the point $Z_{4}$, having the coordinates $(k, h)=\left(16 \lambda^{4}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)$; and for $\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<1$ it intersects the parabola $\gamma_{4}$ at the point $Z_{7}$, having the coordinates $(k, h)=\left(16 \lambda^{2}(\lambda-1)^{2},-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2}+2 \lambda\right)$. The qualitatively different types of the sets (bifurcation diagrams) $\Sigma(\lambda)$ are demonstrated in Fig. 12 a) -c ): a) $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$; b) $\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<1$; c) $\lambda>1$. Gathering together all information obtained, we represent the list of the stationary points of the system (1.2) and the corresponding values of the first integrals (which are intersections of the bifurcation curves):

- $r_{2}=r_{3}=0, \quad r_{1}^{2}=1, \quad s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}=0, \quad(k, h)=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) ;$
- $r_{1}=r_{3}=0, \quad r_{2}^{2}=1, \quad s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}=0, \quad(k, h)=\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\right)$;
- $r_{1}=r_{2}=0, \quad r_{3}^{2}=1, \quad s_{1}=s_{2}=0, \quad s_{3}=-\lambda, \quad(k, h)=\left(1, \lambda^{2}\right)$;
- for $\lambda \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right): r_{2}=s_{2}=0, \quad r_{1}^{2}=2 \lambda-1, \quad r_{3}^{2}=2(1-\lambda)$, $s_{1}=-\frac{2 \lambda r_{1} r_{3}}{1+r_{1}^{2}}, \quad s_{3}=-\frac{\lambda\left(1-r_{1}^{2}\right)}{1+r_{1}^{2}}, \quad(k, h)=\left(16 \lambda^{2}(\lambda-1)^{2},-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2}+2 \lambda\right)$.

To find number of Liouville tori in each connected component of the domain $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Sigma(\lambda)$ we use methods of computer modelling and the fact that any Liouville torus intersects the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ or $r_{2}=0$.

On the bifurcation diagram we draw all kinds of "regular" lines $h=a_{i}$ (and then fix an energy surface $Q_{h}$ ). Changing continuously the value of the additional integral $F$ along these lines, we calculate the Fomenko graphs. Here we use numerical methods to solve the system of differential equations (1.2) and to construct phase trajectories with initial data that are determined for the given triple $(\lambda, k, h)$ from the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{1,2}=r_{1,2}=0  \tag{4.20}\\
R_{1,2}\left(p_{1,2}\right)=0 \\
q_{1,2}=2 p_{1,2}^{2}-2 h \mp 1-2 \lambda^{2} \\
\left(1 \mp p_{1,2}^{2}\right) r_{2,1}^{2} \pm 4 \lambda p_{1,2} r_{2,1}-1 \pm\left(p_{1,2}^{2}-q_{1,2}-4 \lambda^{2}\right)=0 \\
r_{3}^{2}=1-r_{2,1}^{2} \\
s_{2,1}=-p_{1,2} r_{3}, s_{3}=r_{2,1} p_{1,2}-\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
p_{1,2}=r_{2,1}\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right)-s_{2,1} r_{3}, \quad q_{1,2}=s_{2,1}^{2} \mp r_{3}^{2}+4 \lambda s_{3}
$$

We actually use the fact that for the polynomials $R_{1,2}(p)$ the bifurcation curves are discriminant. The number of roots of the polynomials (i. e. the number of connected components) may change only after going through the discriminant curve ${ }^{1}$. Thus, choosing a point $(\lambda, k, h)$ in each connected component of the domain $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Sigma(\lambda)$ and issuing the trajectory with the initial data (4.20), we can determine number of tori in each connected component of the domain $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Sigma(\lambda)$. To do this it is sufficient to find how many domains are filled with phase curves everywhere dense. The number of tori is indicated in Fig. 12.

We show that for $0<\lambda<1$ the segment $Z_{2}-Z_{5}$ corresponds to the atom $A^{*}$. As it was proved in Theorem 1, for $h<0$ the manifold $Q_{h}^{3}$ is the union of two spheres $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Consequently, in particular, on the line $k=0$ there are two separated bifurcations of a torus to a torus. For $0<\lambda<1$ the preimage

[^1]of the segment $k=0,-\frac{1}{2}+\lambda^{2}<h<\frac{1}{2}$ is the critical set $s_{1}=s_{2}=r_{3}=0$, which can be represented in space $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ as intersection of two surfaces
\[

\Gamma_{1,2}: \quad\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
s_{3}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}=a^{2} \\
s_{3}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}=a^{2}-1
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $a=\sqrt{h+\frac{1}{2}}$. On the segment $Z_{2}-Z_{5}$ we have $\lambda<a<1$. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{2}=r_{3}=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (1.2), we find the parametric representation of the closed curves $\Gamma_{1,2} \cong \mathbb{S}^{1}$ in terms of the Jacobi functions with the modulus $a$

$$
\Gamma_{1,2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r_{1}= \pm a \operatorname{sn} \varphi  \tag{4.22}\\
r_{2}= \pm \operatorname{dn} \varphi, \\
s_{3}=-a \operatorname{cn} \varphi, \quad \text { where } \quad \varphi=2\left(t-t_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We consider the solution (4.21) and $\Gamma_{1}$. Through the point $\varphi=0$ we draw the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$, which is orthogonal to this trajectory. Its intersection with the level $J_{h, 0}=\left\{x \in T^{*} \mathbb{S}^{2}: H=2 h, F=0\right\}$ is determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{2}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}=1, \quad s_{2} r_{2}+\left(s_{3}+\lambda\right) r_{3}=0, \quad s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+2 s_{3}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}=2 a^{2} \\
\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 r_{3}^{2}\left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2}\right)+r_{3}^{4}+8 \lambda\left(s_{3}-\lambda\right)\left(s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}\right)+8 \lambda r_{2} r_{3} s_{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking the value $r_{3}$ as a small parameter $\varepsilon$ in the neighborhood of the trajectory, we obtain the parametric formulas for the surface $J_{h, 0}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}=0, \quad r_{2}=1-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}, \quad r_{3}=\varepsilon \\
s_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{a-\lambda}{a+\lambda}\left(1+\lambda^{2}-a^{2}\right)}, \quad s_{2}=-\varepsilon(\lambda-a), \quad s_{3}=-a+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2(\lambda+a)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, this is the "cross". Since the bifurcation has the form $\mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$, this is possible only if $V=A^{*}$. For the other solution (4.21) and $\Gamma_{2}$ the arguments are the same.

We note that the equations (4.21)-(4.22), like in the Sretensky case [19], can form the basis for study splitting of separatrices [21, 22].

It can be shown that for $0<\lambda<1$ the point $Z_{5}$, having the coordinates $(k, h)=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, is a "saddle-saddle" point of zero-rank multiplicity 2 (its preimage contains two nondegenerate points of zero rank). In the neighborhood of this point the bifurcation diagram is homeomorphic to two transversal intervals with a common point. The loop molecule has the form illustrated in Fig. 4. All loop molecules that correspond to the saddle-saddle points of multiplicity 2 are described in [6]. The


Fig. 4 complete list contains 39 molecules. There are exactly 2 molecules $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ in the list that fit our case: they are $\left(P_{4}, C_{2}\right)$ and $\left(L_{1}, D_{2}\right)$. In any case, as $V_{3}$ we have the bifurcation $2 B$.

In order to distinguish the atoms $C_{2}$ and $D_{2}$, we use one of the most important property of atoms - the symmetry ${ }^{2}$. It is known that the atom $D_{2}$ has no symmetries, interchanging singular one-dimension orbits, whereas the symmetry group of the atom $C_{2}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ [4]. In our problem we can represent this symmetry group in an explicit form.

[^2]| $\begin{gathered} \text { Singular } \\ \text { point } \end{gathered}$ | Type of singular point | Loop molecule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z_{1}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "center-center" type | $A \xrightarrow[0]{\square} A \xrightarrow{\square} A$ |
| $Z_{2}$ | Degenerate singular orbit | $A \stackrel{\frac{1}{2}}{-} A^{*} \stackrel{0}{-} A \quad A \stackrel{\frac{1}{2}}{=} A^{*} \xrightarrow{0} A$ |
| $Z_{3}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "center-saddle" type | $A-\infty$ |
| $Z_{4}$ | Degenerate singular point |  |
| $Z_{5}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "saddle-saddle" type |  |
| $Z_{6}$ | Degenerate singular orbit of elliptic type |  |
| $Z_{7}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "center-saddle" type |  |
| $Z_{8}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "center-center" type | $A \xrightarrow{0} A \quad A \xrightarrow{0} A$ |
| $Z_{9}$ | Nondegenerate singular point of "center-saddle" type |  |
| $Z_{10}$ | Degenerate singular orbit of elliptic type |  |

Table 2. Loop molecules of the singular points.

Obviously, the system of the first integrals possesses the following symmetries:

- $\tau_{1}:\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3},-r_{1},-r_{2},-r_{3}\right)$,
- $\tau_{2}:\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3},-r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$,
- $\tau_{3}:\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(s_{1},-s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1},-r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$,
- $\tau_{4}:\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1},-s_{2}, s_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2},-r_{3}\right)$.

Since all symmetries preserve the first integrals and the level surfaces, they give rise to symmetries of atoms (to each other or to themselves). Since the preimage of each point from the segment (i) (see Fig. 12) is connected, these are symmetries of the atom $V_{2}$ to itself. We show that among these symmetries there are symmetries, changing singular circles.

| $h$ | $Q_{h}^{3}$ | $W^{*}\left(Q_{h}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | $2 \mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $A \stackrel{0}{\square} A \quad A \xlongequal[0]{0} A$ |
| $a_{2}$ | $2 \mathbb{S}^{3}$ | $A \stackrel{0}{-} A^{*} \stackrel{0}{-} A \quad A \stackrel{0}{-} A^{*} \stackrel{0}{-} A$ |
| $a_{3}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ |  |
| $a_{4}$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \xrightarrow[0]{0} A^{*}>P_{4} \frac{\frac{0}{\infty} A}{\frac{0}{\infty} A} A \\ & A-A^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| $a_{5}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ | $A \stackrel{0}{\square} C_{2} \xrightarrow[0]{0} B \xlongequal[{ }_{0}]{\frac{0}{0} A_{0}} A$ |
| $a_{6}$ | $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ | $A-0 C_{0} C_{2} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} A$ |
| $b$ | $N^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \xrightarrow[0]{0} A^{*}>_{0}^{0} P_{4} \frac{\infty}{\frac{\infty}{\infty} A} \underset{\infty}{\infty} A \\ & A \xrightarrow[0]{\infty} A \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ | $A>{ }_{0}^{0} C_{2} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty}_{\underbrace{}_{A}}$ |
| $d$ | $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ |  |

Table 3.
As it was proved, each torus and each closed trajectory in the neighborhood of the point $Z_{5}$ intersect the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$. Consider the intersection of singular trajectories in the preimage of an arbitrary point of the segment ( $i$ ) with this hyperplane. The intersection points have the coordinates $\left(s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, r_{1}^{\circ}, r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right)$, where $s_{1}^{\circ 2}=\frac{4 \lambda^{2}-r_{3}^{\circ 4}}{r_{3}^{\circ}}, s_{2}^{\circ}=\frac{2 \lambda r_{2}^{\circ}}{r_{3}^{\circ}}, s_{3}^{\circ}=-\frac{\lambda\left(2-r_{3}^{\circ 2}\right)}{r_{3}^{\circ}}, r_{1}^{\circ}=0, r_{2}^{\circ 2}=1-r_{3}^{\circ 2}$. Here $r_{3}^{\circ}$ is a root of one (any) of the equations $h+\frac{1}{2}+\lambda^{2}=\frac{4 \lambda^{4}}{r_{3}^{\circ}}$ or $k=-16 \lambda^{2}\left(\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{r_{3}^{4}}-\lambda^{2}-1\right)$. Since $r_{3}^{\circ}$ can be obtained from the equations up to the sign and there is arbitrariness in choosing of signs of the coordinates $s_{1}^{\circ}$ and $r_{2}^{\circ}$, we can conclude that two singular trajectories intersect the surface $r_{1}=0$ at eight points, and each trajectory intersects this surface at four points. To separate points lying on the same trajectory we use computer modelling. We take an arbitrary point $\left(s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, r_{1}^{\circ}, r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right)$, issue a trajectory, and observe change of signs of the coordinates $s_{1}, r_{1}$, and $r_{3}$, when the trajectory meets the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$. The results of computer analysis are as follows. The sequential points of intersection of the trajectory with the surface $r_{1}=0$ are
$\left(s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0, r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(s_{1}^{\circ},-s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0,-r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1}^{\circ},-s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0, r_{2}^{\circ},-r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0,-r_{2}^{\circ},-r_{3}^{\circ}\right)$.

Therefore, we know which four points lie on the chosen trajectory. The remaining four points belong to the second one:
$\left(-s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0, r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(s_{1}^{\circ}, s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0,-r_{2}^{\circ},-r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1}^{\circ},-s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0, r_{2}^{\circ},-r_{3}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow\left(-s_{1}^{\circ},-s_{2}^{\circ}, s_{3}^{\circ}, 0,-r_{2}^{\circ}, r_{3}^{\circ}\right)$.
It remains to chose symmetries $\tau_{i}$ that take the points of intersection of the first trajectory with the hyperplane $r_{1}=0$ to the points of intersection of the second one with the same hyperplane. Obviously, the symmetries obtained interchange the singular trajectories. After examining each symmetry $\tau_{i}$ we obtain that $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ interchange the trajectories and $\tau_{3}, \tau_{4}$ do not. Besides, we see that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ generate the entire symmetry group of the atom $C_{2}$. Analogously, we can prove that the atom realized at the segment ( $j$ ) (see Fig. 12) is $P_{4}$.

Consequently, by the theorem on classification of "saddle-saddle" points of zero-rank multiplicity 2 [6] the loop molecule with the given atoms is unique; it is illustrated in Fig. 2. The information about the remaining singular points $Z_{1}-Z_{10}$ and their loop molecules is indicated in Table 2.

If we know bifurcations of Liouville tori in neighborhoods of singular points, then we can calculate the numerical marks $r_{i}$ of the molecule $W^{*}$ on the corresponding energy surfaces $Q_{h}^{3}$ for any fixed $\lambda$ and $h$. To find the marks $r_{i}$ we use the method of summing loop molecules [4]. For each domain in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}(\lambda, h)$ Fig. 6 illustrates the pair $\left(Q_{h}^{3}, W^{*}\right)$, which consists of the energy surface $Q_{h}^{3}$ and the marked molecule $W^{*}$, but with the marks $r_{i}$. In this figure the pairs are denoted by ( $\left.Q_{h}^{3}, i\right), i=\overline{1,9}$. There is natural correspondence between zones in Fig. 6 and energy intervals in Fig. 12 a)-c). The energy levels $h=a_{i}$ correspond to the zones of numbers $i=1,2,3,4,5,6$, and the energy levels $h=b, c, d$ correspond to the zones of numbers $i=7,8,9$, respectively. The complete list ( $Q_{h}^{3}, W^{*}$ ) is represented in Table 3.

In conclusion we formulate the theorem for almost general case. It can be proved using the same scheme and will be published in a separate paper.

Theorem 5. The bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is
a) the union of curves $\Delta_{i}(\lambda)$, where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{1}(\lambda):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=4 \delta^{2}, \\
h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}-\delta,
\end{array}\right. \\
\Delta_{2}(\lambda): k=\left(2 h-1-2 \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \delta^{2},
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& k=-16 \lambda^{2} p^{2}+16 \lambda \delta p+16 \lambda^{4}-\frac{16 \lambda^{3} \delta}{p}+\frac{4 \lambda^{2} \delta^{2}}{p^{2}}, \\
& h=-\frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}+p^{2}+\frac{\lambda \delta}{p},
\end{aligned} \Delta_{3}(\lambda):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=2 \delta^{2}+8 \lambda^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

if $\gamma=0$. The example of a bifurcation diagram is illustrated in Fig. 13.
b) the union of curves $\Gamma_{i}(\lambda)$, where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_{1}(\lambda):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=4 \gamma^{2}, \\
h \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4},
\end{array}\right. \\
\Gamma_{2}(\lambda): \quad k=\left(2 h+1-2 \lambda^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \gamma^{2},
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{3}(\lambda):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k=-16 \lambda^{2} p^{2}+16 \lambda \gamma p+16 \lambda^{4}-\frac{16 \lambda^{3} \gamma}{p}+\frac{4 \lambda^{2} \gamma^{2}}{p^{2}}, \\
h=\frac{1}{2}-\lambda^{2}+p^{2}+\frac{\lambda \gamma}{p},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Gamma_{4}(\lambda): \quad k=-4\left(\gamma^{2}+4 \lambda^{2}\right) h-\gamma^{4}-4 \lambda^{2} \gamma^{2}+2 \gamma^{2}-8 \lambda^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\delta=0$. The example of a bifurcation diagram is illustrated in Fig. 14.

It can be shown that the bifurcation sets, like in the previous cases, are parts of surfaces of multiple roots of some polynomials. For each case we find the complete list of the Fomenko invariants. Here the atom $P_{4}$ bifurcates to more simple atoms $2 B$ and $C_{2}$; this effect shows that the atom $P_{4}$ is unstable under perturbations.
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Fig. 6. Topological type of $Q_{h}^{3}$ in the case $\lambda \neq 0, \delta=\gamma=0$
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Fig. 12 a) Bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$ for $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{2}$



Fig. 12 b) Bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$ for $\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<1$ Fig. 12c) Bifurcation set $\Sigma(\lambda)$ for $\lambda>1$
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[^0]:    Mathematics Subject Classification 35Q05

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is supported by Appelrot [1] and Kharlamov [18] devoted to the Kovalevskaya gyroscope. In the first paper domains of "real motions" (i.e. the projections of the integral manifold onto the equatorial plane) are studied in dependence on number and location of real roots of the basic polynomial. In the second one number of preimages of each interior point of domains of real motions is specified. Then it is possible to find number of Liouville tori, corresponding to any component of the complement to the discriminant of the basic polynomial.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In general, the fact that in integrable problems of rigid body dynamics there are atoms different from $A$ and $B$ is a consequence of symmetries of these problems. Therefore, it would be natural to use these internal symmetries to classify bifurcations.

